Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

Borough of Keyport Monmouth County NJPDES #NJG0149012

January 14, 2019 **Revised December 7, 2020**

SPPP Table of Contents

- Form 1 SPPP Team Members (permit cite IV F 1)
- Form 2 Revision History (permit cite IV F 1)
- Form 3 Public Involvement and Participation Including Public Notice (permit cite IV B 1)
- Form 4 Public Education and Outreach (permit cite IV B 2 and Attachment B)

Form 5 – Post-Construction Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment Program (permit cite IV B 4 and Attachment D)

Form 6 – Ordinances (permit cite IV B 5)

Form 7 – Street Sweeping (permit cite IV B 5 b)

Form 8 – Catch Basin and Storm Drain Inlets (permit cite IV B 2, IV B 5 b ii, and Attachment C)

Form 9 – Storm Drain Inlet Retrofitting (permit cite IV B 5 b)

Form 10 – Municipal Maintenance Yards and Other Ancillary Operations (permit cite IV B 5 c and Attachment E)

- Form 11 Employee Training (permit cite IV B 5 d, e, f)
- Form 12 Outfall Pipes (permit cite IV B 6 a, b, c)
- Form 13 Stormwater Facilities Maintenance (permit cite IV C 1)
- Form 14 Total Maximum Daily Load Information (permit cite IV C 2)
- Form 15 Optional Measures (permit cite IV E 1 and IV E 2)

SPPP Form 1 – SPPP Team Members

| | Stormwater Program Coordinator | r (SPC) |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| Print/Type | Trevor J. Taylor, PE, PP, CME, CF | M – Borough Engineer |
| Name and Title | | |
| Office Phone # | (732) 462-74 | 00 |
| and eMail | TTaylor@cmeusa | a1.com |
| Signature/Date | | 12/15/20 |
| | \bigcirc | Date |
| | lividual(s) Responsible for Major Devel Stormwater Management Rev | iew |
| Print/Type | Trevor J. Taylor, PE, PP, CME, CFM - | Planning Board Engineer |
| Name and Title | | |
| Print/Type | | |
| Name and Title | | |
| Print/Type | | |
| Name and Title | | |
| Print/Type | | |
| Name and Title | | |
| Print/Type | | |
| Name and Title | | |
| | | |
| | Other SPPP Team Member | S |
| Print/Type | Robert Kutchman – Superinten | dent of Public Works |
| Name and Title | · · · · · · | |
| Print/Type | Michelle Clark, RMC – E | Borough Clerk |
| Name and Title | | - |
| Print/Type | Jay Delaney, Borough A | Administrator |
| | | |
| Name and Title | | |
| Name and Title Print/Type | | |

SPPP Form 2 – Revision History

| | Revision SPC SPPP Reason for Revision Data Initials Form Initials Form | | Reason for Revision | |
|-----|--|----------|---------------------|--|
| | Date | Initials | Form Changed | |
| 1. | April 14, 2005 | | | Original Report |
| 2. | 2013 | | | Updated Report |
| 3. | 8/18/17 | | YES | Updated Personnel |
| 4. | 1/14/19 | | YES | Updated Forms |
| 5. | 12/7/20 | | YES | Updated Forms, provide additional information per 10/28/20 NJDEP Compliance Letter |
| 6. | | | | |
| 7. | | | | |
| 8. | | | | |
| 9. | | | | |
| 10. | | | | |
| 11. | | | | |
| 12. | | | | |
| 13. | | | | |
| 14. | | | | |
| 15. | | | | |
| 16. | | | | |
| 17. | | | | |
| 18. | | | | |
| 19. | | | | |
| 20. | | | | |

SPPP Form 3 – Public Involvement and Participation Including Public Notice

| 1. | Website URL where the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SPPP) is posted online: | http://www.keyportonline.com/stormwater |
|------------------|---|--|
| 2. | Date of most current SPPP: | December 7, 2020 |
| 3. | Website URL where the Municipal Stormwater Management Plan (MSWMP) is posted online: | http://www.keyportonline.com/stormwater |
| 4. | Date of most current MSWMP: | January 15, 2009 |
| | 1 1 | http://www.keyportonline.com/notices/?Archives=1&ChanID=4088 Copies of public notices are also kept on file in the Borough Clerk's office. |
| | when providing for public particle stormwater program: | pation in the development and implementation of a MS4 |
| Ac pas the | t ("Sunshine Law", NJSA 10:4-6 ssage of ordinances. The Borough | ablic notice of meetings as required by the Open Public Meeting et. Seq.) and as required by NJSA 40:49-1 et. Seq. for the a will also provide public notice for municipal actions, such as agement Plan, as outlined by the Municipal Land Use Law |

SPPP Form 4 – Public Education and Outreach

All records must be available upon request by NJDEP.

1. Describe how public education and outreach events are advertised. Include specific websites and/or physical locations where materials are available. WEBSITE – Information on Stormwater has been updated on the Keyport Borough website. DISPLAY – A stormwater display has been made and is displayed at Keyport Borough Hall. BROCHURE DISTRIBUTION/MAILING – The Borough of Keyport will distribute the DEP provided brochure to residents and business at Keyport special events. Additional copies will be made available to public at Borough Hall and public library. LOCAL EVENTS – The Borough of Keyport advertises public education and outreach events on the Borough website and Facebook pages. STORMWATER RELATED ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS - The Borough of Keyport maintains enforcement action notices in the Borough Administration office. Notices sent by the Borough Engineer are also kept in the Borough Engineer's office. 2. Describe how businesses and the general public within the municipality are educated about the hazards associated with illicit connections and improper disposal of waste. The Borough will send letters to local businesses in 2021 advising them about the hazards of improper disposal of wastes. The Borough will also complete the illicit connection inspections in 2021 and provide notification to any property owners of observed illicit connections. 3. Indicate where public education and outreach records are maintained. Within the Borough Administration office and construction office.

SPPP Form 5 – Post-Construction Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment Program

| | All records must be available upon request by NJDEP. |
|----|---|
| 1. | How does the municipality define 'major development'? |
| | Major Development shall mean any "development" that provides for ultimately disturbing one (1) or more acres of land. Disturbance for the purpose of this rule is the placement of impervious surface or exposure and/or movement of soil or bedrock or clearing, cutting, or removing vegetation. "Major Development" also means "development" which results in an increase of impervious area on any site of one-quarter (1/4) acre (10, 890 square feet) or greater. |
| 2. | Does the municipality approach residential projects differently than it does for non-residential projects? If so, how? |
| | As most of Keyport is primarily developed, the approach to residential development projects is similar to non-residential projects. |
| 3. | What process is in place to ensure that municipal projects meet the Stormwater Control Ordinance? |
| | The Borough Engineer reviews each of the municipal capital projects to identify which prongs of the Stormwater Control Ordinance need to be met. |
| 4. | Describe the process for reviewing major development project applications for compliance with the Stormwater Control Ordinance (SCO) and Residential Site Improvement Standards (RSIS). Attach a flow chart if available. |
| | The Unified Planning Board is the Borough entity that would be responsible to review major development applications for compliance with the Stormwater Control Ordinance (SCO) and Residential Site Improvement Standards (RSIS). Currently the Borough Engineer is also the Unified Planning Board Engineer. |
| | |

| 5. Does the Municipal Stormwater Management Plan include a mitigation plan? | No it does not include a mitigation plan. |
|---|---|
| 6. What is the physical location of approved applications for major development projects, Major Development Summary Sheets (permit att. D), and mitigation plans? | Planning Board Secretary maintains a file for each Planning Board Application. The Board Engineer will also maintain the required paperwork for each development project. |

SPPP Form 6 – Ordinances

| Ordinance permit cite IV.B.1.b.iii | Date of Adoption | Website URL (See below) | Was the DEP model ordinance adopted without change? | Entity responsible for enforcement |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| 1. Pet Waste permit cite IV.B.5.a.i | 10/18/05 | Ordinance 19-05 | | Police |
| 2. Wildlife Feeding permit cite IV.B5.a.ii | 10/18/05 | Ordinance 15-05 | | Police |
| 3. Litter Control permit cite IV.B5.a.iii | 10/18/05 | Ordinance 17-05 | | Police |
| 4. Improper Disposal of Waste permit cite IV.B.5.a.iv | 10/18/05 | Ordinance 18-05 | | Police |
| 5. Containerized Yard Waste/ Yard Waste Collection Program permit cite IV.B.5.a.v | 10/18/05 | Ordinance 20-05 | | Property Maintenance and Recycling Dept. |
| 6. Private Storm Drain Inlet Retrofitting permit cite IV.B.5.a.vi | 12/21/10 | Ordinance 18-10 | | Property Maintenance and Engineering |
| 7. Stormwater Control Ordinance permit cite IV.B.4.g and IV.B.5.a.vii | 12/18/18 | Ordinance 26-18 | | Engineering |
| 8. Illicit Connection Ordinance permit cite IV.B.5.a.vii and IV.B.6.d | 10/18/05 | Ordinance 16-05 | | DPW and Engineering |
| 9. Optional: Refuse Container/ Dumpster Ordinance permit cite IV.E.2 | 2011 | Chapter 16-5 | | Property Maintenance and Recycling Dept. |

All records must be available upon request by NJDEP.

Indicate the location of records associated with ordinances and related enforcement actions:

http://www.keyportonline.com/stormwater

All of these ordinances are available on the STORMWATER website.

ORDINANCE NO: #20-08

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER XIV OF THE ORDINANCES OF THE BOROUGH OF KEYPORT BANNING CERTAIN WATER DISCHARGES INTO THE BOROUGH STREETS

WHEREAS, water discharge from sump-pumps into sidewalks and roads, particularly in the winter when it can freeze, creates a hazard to pedestrians and motorists; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Borough Council wish to amend Chapter XIV "Water and Sewer" and create a new section entitled Chapter 14-5, "Sump Pump Discharge"; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to *N.J.S.A.* 40:48-1 the power to adopt public ordinances for public health, safety and welfare of the borough is coterminous with the power of the legislature; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED by the Mayor and the Borough Council of the Borough of Keyport that Chapter XIV, of the Revised Ordinances of the Borough of Keyport is amended to include a new section 14-5 entitled "Sump Pump Discharge", as follows;

Section 14-5 Sump Pump Discharge

Section 14-5 .1 Sump Pump Discharge

a) **Purpose.** The Purpose of this subsection is to prohibit the discharge of sump pump or other mechanical discharge of any stormwater onto any Borough street or sidewalk when such a discharge would create an unsafe icing condition.

b) Sump-Pump Discharge. There shall be no sump-pump discharges or mechanical discharge of any stormwater directly onto any Borough street or sidewalk between December 1st and March 15th, if in the professional opinion of the Superintendent of Engineering or Borough Engineer, or their designee, that said discharge would create an unsafe icing condition. The sump-pump or mechanical discharge of any stormwater between December 1st and March 15th shall be discharged so that it will percolate into the soil, and not run directly into any public streets or sidewalks.

c) Penalties. Any person(s), entity, firm or corporation or other group found guilty of violating any of the provisions of this subsection shall

be subject to any penalty as set forth in Section 1-5 of the Revised General Ordinances. Each daily incident shall be considered as a separate violation.

Section 2. If any part or parts of the Ordinance are for any reason held to be invalid, such adjudication shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance.

Section 3. All Ordinances or parts of Ordinances which are inconsistent herewith are repealed, but only to the extent of such inconsistency.

Section 4. This Ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its final passage and publication as required by law.

Introduced: August 19, 2008 Public Hearing: September 2, 2008 Adopted: September 2, 2008

Valerie Heilweil, RMC Borough Clerk Borough of Keyport

Robert Bergen, Mayor Borough of Keyport

ORDINANCE NO. 18-05 AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING AN IMPROPER DISPOSAL OF WASTE ORDINANCE IN THE BOROUGH OF KEYPORT, COUNTY OF MONMOUTH AND STATE OF NEW JERSEY

SECTION I. Purpose. An Ordinance to prohibit the spilling, dumping or disposal of materials other than stormwater to the municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) operated by the Borough of Keyport, so as to protect public health, safety and welfare and to prescribed penalties for the failure to comply.

38

SECTION II. Definitions. For the purpose of this Ordinance, the following terms, phrases, words and their derivations shall have the meanings stated herein unless their use in the test of this Chapter clearly demonstrates a different meaning. When not inconsistent with the context, words used in the present tense include the future, words used in the plural number include the singular number, and words used in the singular number include the plural number. The word "shall" is always mandatory and not merely directory.

a. Municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) – a conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, manmade channels or storm drains) that is owned or operated by the Borough of Keyport or other public body and is designed and used for collecting and conveying stormwater.

b. Person – any individual, corporation, company, partnership, firm, association or political subdivision of this State subject to municipal jurisdiction.

c. Stormwater – water resulting from precipitation (including rain or snow) that runs off the land's surface, is transmitted to the subsurface, is captured by separate storm sewer or other sewerage or drainage facilities, or is conveyed by snow removal equipment.

SECTION III. Prohibited Conduct. The spilling, dumping or disposal of materials other than stormwater to the municipal separate storm sewer system operated by the Borough of Keyport is prohibited. The spilling, dumping or disposal of materials other than stormwater in such a manner as to cause the discharge of pollutants to the municipal separate storm sewer is also prohibited.

SECTION IV. Exceptions to Prohibition:

- a. Water line flushing and discharges from potable water sources.
- b. Uncontaminated ground water (e.g. infiltration, crawl space or basement sump pumps, foundation or footing drains, rising ground waters).
- c. Air conditioning condensate (excluding contact and non-contact cooling water).
- d. Irrigation water (including landscape and lawn watering runoff).
- e. Flows from springs, riparian habitats and wetlands, water reservoir discharges and diverted stream flows.
- f. Residential car washing water and residential swimming pool discharges.

SECTION VII. Severability. Each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase of this Ordinance is declared to be an independent section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase and the finding or holding of any such portion of this Ordinance to be unconstitutional, void or ineffective for any cause or reason, shall not affect any other portion of this Ordinance.

SECTION VIII. Effective date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and any publication as may be required by law.

Introduced: October 4, 2005 Adopted: October 18, 2005

Offered for adoption Mr. Walling, second by Mrs. Atkins

Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Councilmembers Atkins, Doyle, Bergen, Wedick, Walling, Hassmiller Nays: None Abstain: None

ATTEST:

JUDITH L. POLING, RMC **BOROUGH CLERK**

APPR JOHN MAY

ORDINANCE NO. 15-05 AN ORDINANCE TO PROHIBIT THE FEEDING OF UNCONFINED WILDLIFE IN ANY PUBLIC PARK OR PROPERTY OWNED OR OPERATED BY THE BOROUGH OF KEYPORT, COUNTY OF MONMOUTH AND STATE OF NEW JERSEY

SECTION I. Purpose. An Ordinance to prohibit the feeding of unconfined wildlife in any public park or on any other property owned or operated by the Borough of Keyport so as to protect public health, safety and welfare and to prescribed penalties for failure to comply.

SECTION II. Definitions. For the purpose of this ordinance, the following terms, phrases, words and their derivations shall have the meanings stated herein unless their use in the text of this Chapter clearly demonstrates a different meaning. When not inconsistent with the context, words used in the present tense include the future, words used in the plural number include the singular number and words used in the singular number include the plural number. The word "shall" is always mandatory and not merely directory.

Feed – to give, place, expose, deposit, distribute or scatter any edible material with the intention of feeding, attracting or enticing wildlife. Feeding does not include baiting in the legal taking of fish and/or game.

b. Person – any individual, corporation, company, partnership, firm, association or political subdivision of this State subject to municipal jurisdiction.

Wildlife – all animals that are neither human nor domesticated.

SECTION III. Prohibited Conduct:

a.

C.

a. No person shall feed, in any public park or any other property owned or operated by the Borough of Keyport, any wildlife, excluding confined wildlife (for example, wildlife confined in zoos, parks or rehabilitation center or unconfined wildlife at environmental education centers).

SECTION IV. Enforcement:

a. This ordinance shall be enforced by the Police Department and Board of Health of the Borough of Keyport.

b. Any person found to be in violation of this ordinance shall be ordered to cease the feeding immediately.

SECTION V. Violations and Penalties. Any person(s), entity, firm, corporation or other group that is found guilty of violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be subject to any penalty set forth in Section 1-5 of the General Code. Each incident shall be considered as a separate violation.

SECTION VI. Inconsistent Ordinances. All Ordinances or parts thereof inconsistent with the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby repealed as to such inconsistency.

SECTION VII. Severability. Each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase of this Ordinance is declared to be an independent section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase

and the finding or holding of any such portion of this Ordinance to be unconstitutional, void, or ineffective for any cause or reason shall not affect any other portion of this Ordinance.

SECTION VIII. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and any publication as may be required by law.

Introduced: October 4, 2005

Adopted: October 18, 2005

Offered for adoption by Mr. Doyle, second by Mr. Walling

Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Councilmembers Atkins, Doyle, Bergen, Wedick, Walling, Hassmiller

Nays: None Abstain: None Absent: None

ATTEST:

JDITH L. POLING, RMC BOROUGH CLERK

APPRO FD: MERLA

ORDINANCE NO. 16-05 AN ORDINANCE TO PROHIBIT ILLICIT CONNECTIONS TO MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEM OPERATED BY THE BOROUGH OF KEYPORT, COUNTY OF MONMOUTH AND STATE OF NEW JERSEY

SECTION I. Purpose. An Ordinance to prohibit illicit connections to the municipal separate storm sewer system(s) operated by the Borough of Keyport, so as to protect the public health, safety and welfare and to prescribe penalties for the failure to comply.

SECTION II. Definitions. For the purpose of this Ordinance, the following terms, phrases, words and their derivations shall have the meanings stated herein unless their use in the text of this Chapter clearly demonstrates a different meaning. When not inconsistent with the context, words used in the present tense include the future, words used in the plural number include the singular number and words used in the singular number include the plural number. The word "shall" is always mandatory and not merely directory. The definitions below are the same as or based on corresponding definitions in the New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) rules at NJAC 7:14A-1.2.

Domestic sewage - waste and wastewater from humans or household operations.

Illicit connection – any physical or non-physical connection that discharges domestic sewage, non-contact cooling water, process wastewater or other industrial waste (other than stormwater) to the municipal separate storm sewer system operated by the Borough of Keyport, unless that discharge is authorized under a NJPDES permit other than the Tier A Municipal Stormwater General Discharge Permit (NJPDES Permit Number NJ0141852). Non-physical connections may include, but are not limited to leaks, flows or overflows into the municipal separate storm sewer system.

Industrial waste – non-domestic waste, including but not limited to those pollutants regulated under Section 307(a),(b) or (c) of the Federal Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. Subsection 1317(a), (b) or (c)).

Municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) - a conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, manmade channels or storm drains) that is owned or operated by the Borough of Keyport or other public body and is designed and used for collecting and conveying stormwater.

NJPDES permit – a permit issued by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection to implement the New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) rules at NJAC 7:14A.

Non-contact cooling water – water used to reduce temperature for the purpose of cooling. Such waters do not come into direct contact with any raw material, intermediate product (other than heat) or finished product. Non-contact cooling water may however contain algaecides or biocides to control fouling of equipment such as heat exchangers and/or corrosion inhibitors.

Person – any individual, corporation, company, partnership, firm, association or political subdivision of this State subject to municipal jurisdiction.

c.

d.

e.

f.

g.

a.

b.

Process wastewater – any water which during manufacturing or processing, comes into direct contact with or results from the production or use of any raw material, intermediate product, finished product, byproduct or waste product. Process wastewater includes, but is not limited to leachate and cooling water other than non-contact cooling water.

Stormwater – water resulting from precipitation (including rain and snow) that runs off the land's surface, is transmitted to the subsurface, is captured by separate storm sewers or other sewerage or drainage facilities or is conveyed by snow removal equipment.

SECTION III. Prohibited Conduct. No person shall discharge or cause to be discharged through an illicit connection to the municipal separate storm sewer system operated by the Borough of Keyport any domestic sewage, non-contact cooling water, process wastewater or other industrial waste (other than stormwater).

SECTION IV. Enforcement. This Ordinance shall be enforced by the Police Department and Board of Health of the Borough of Keyport.

SECTION V. Penalties. Any person(s), entity, firm, corporation or other group that is found guilty of violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be subject to any penalty set forth in Section 1-5 of the General Code. Each incident shall be considered as a separate violation.

SECTION VI. Inconsistent Ordinances. All Ordinances or parts thereof inconsistent with the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby repealed as to such inconsistency.

SECTION VII. Severability. Each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase of this Ordinance is declared to be an independent section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase and the finding or holding of any such portion of this ordinance to be unconstitutional, void or ineffective for any clause or reason shall not affect any other portion of this Ordinance.

SECTION VIII. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and any publication as may be required by law.

Introduced: October 4, 2005

h.

Adopted: October 18, 2005

Offered for adoption by Mr. Walling, second by Mr. Bergen

Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Councilmembers Atkins, Doyle, Bergen, Wedick, Walling, Hassmiller Nays: None Abstain: None Absent: None

ATTEST: UDITH L. POLING, RMC

BOROUGH CLERK

APPRO

IÉRLA

ORDINANCE NO. 17-05 AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING REQUIREMENTS TO CONTROL LITTERING IN THE BOROUGH OF KEYPORT, COUNTY OF MONMOUTH AND STATE OF NEW JERSEY

SECTION I. Purpose. An Ordinance to establish requirements to control littering in the Borough of Keyport, so as to protect public health, safety and welfare and to prescribe penalties or the failure to comply.

SECTION II. Definitions. For the purpose of this Ordinance, the following terms, phrases, words and their derivations shall have the meanings stated herein unless their use in the test of this Chapter clearly demonstrates a different meaning. When not inconsistent with the context, words used in the present tense include the future, words used in the plural number include the singular number and words used in the singular number include the plural number. The word "shall" is always mandatory and not merely directory.

Litter – any used or unconsumed substance or waste material which has been discarded, whether made of aluminum, glass, plastic, rubber, paper or other natural or synthetic material or any combination thereof, including but not limited to, any bottle, jar or can, or any top, cap or detachable tab of any bottle, jar or can, any unlighted cigarette, cigar, match or any flaming or glowing material or any garbage, trash, refuse, debris, rubbish, grass clippings or other lawn or garden waste, newspapers, magazines, glass, metal, plastic or paper containers or other packaging or construction material, but does not include the waste of the primary processes of mining or other extraction processes, logging, sawmilling, farming or manufacturing.

Litter Receptacle – a container suitable for the depositing of litter.

Person – any individual, corporation, company, partnership, firm, association or political subdivision of this State subject to municipal jurisdiction.

SECTION III. Prohibited acts and regulated activities.

a.

b.

C,

1.

2.

- It shall be unlawful for any person to throw, drop, discard or otherwise place any litter of any nature upon public or private property other than in a litter receptacle or having done so, to allow such litter to remain.
- Whenever any litter is thrown or discarded or allowed to fall from a vehicle or boat in violation of this Ordinance, the operator or owner, or both, of the motor vehicle or boat shall also be deemed to have violated this Ordinance.

SECTION IV. Enforcement. This Ordinance shall be enforced by the Police Department and Board of Health of the Borough of Keyport.

SECTION V. Penalties. Any person(s), entity, firm, corporation or other group that is found guilty of violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be subject to any penalty set forth in Section 1-5 of the General Code. Each incident shall be considered as a separate violation.

SECTION VI. Inconsistent Ordinances. All Ordinances or parts thereof inconsistent with the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby repealed as to such inconsistency.

Sidewalk, driveway and street wash water.

Flows from fire fighting activities including the washing of fire fighting vehicles.

Vehicle and equipment washwater from municipal operations pursuant to Part I, Section F.8.e of the Borough's Tier A Municipal Stormwater General Permit.

Flows from rinsing of the following equipment with clean water:

Beach maintenance equipment immediately following their use for their intended purposes; and

Equipment used in the application of salt and de-icing materials immediately following salt and de-icing material applications. Prior to rinsing with clean water, all residual salt and de-icing materials must be removed from equipment and vehicles to the maximum extent practicable using dry cleaning methods (e.g. shoveling and sweeping). Recovered materials are to be returned to storage for reuse or properly discarded

SECTION V. Enforcement. This Ordinance shall be enforced by the Police Department and Board of Health of the Borough of Keyport.

SECTION VI. Penalties. Any person(s), entity, firm, corporation or other group that is found guilty of violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be subject to any penalty set forth in Section 1-5 of the General Code. Each incident shall be considered as a separate violation.

SECTION VII. Inconsistent Ordinances. All Ordinances or parts thereof inconsistent with the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby repealed as to such inconsistency.

SECTION VIII. Severability. Each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase of this Ordinance is declared to be an independent section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase and the finding or holding of any such portion of this Ordinance to be unconstitutional, void or ineffective for any cause or reason, shall not affect any other portion of this Ordinance.

SECTION IX. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and any publication as may be required by law.

Introduced: October 4, 2005 Adopted: October 18, 2005

g.

h.

i.

j.

Offered for adoption by Mr. Hassmiller, second by Mr. Bergen

Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Councilmembers Atkins, Doyle, Bergen, Wedick, Walling, Hassmiller Nays: None

Abstain: None

Absent: None

ATTEST:

Poling

UDITH L. POLING, RMC BOROUGH CLERK

APPRO JOH

ORDINANCE NO. 18-05 AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING AN IMPROPER DISPOSAL OF WASTE ORDINANCE IN THE BOROUGH OF KEYPORT, COUNTY OF MONMOUTH AND STATE OF NEW JERSEY

SECTION I. Purpose. An Ordinance to prohibit the spilling, dumping or disposal of materials other than stormwater to the municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) operated by the Borough of Keyport, so as to protect public health, safety and welfare and to prescribed penalties for the failure to comply.

13: 2000

SECTION II. Definitions. For the purpose of this Ordinance, the following terms, phrases, words and their derivations shall have the meanings stated herein unless their use in the test of this Chapter clearly demonstrates a different meaning. When not inconsistent with the context, words used in the present tense include the future, words used in the plural number include the singular number, and words used in the singular number include the plural number. The word "shall" is always mandatory and not merely directory.

a. Municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) – a conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, manmade channels or storm drains) that is owned or operated by the Borough of Keyport or other public body and is designed and used for collecting and conveying stormwater.

b. Person – any individual, corporation, company, partnership, firm, association or political subdivision of this State subject to municipal jurisdiction.

c. Stormwater – water resulting from precipitation (including rain or snow) that runs off the land's surface, is transmitted to the subsurface, is captured by separate storm sewer or other sewerage or drainage facilities, or is conveyed by snow removal equipment.

SECTION III. Prohibited Conduct. The spilling, dumping or disposal of materials other than stormwater to the municipal separate storm sewer system operated by the Borough of Keyport is prohibited. The spilling, dumping or disposal of materials other than stormwater in such a manner as to cause the discharge of pollutants to the municipal separate storm sewer is also prohibited.

SECTION IV. Exceptions to Prohibition:

- Water line flushing and discharges from potable water sources.
- b. Uncontaminated ground water (e.g. infiltration, crawl space or basement sump pumps, foundation or footing drains, rising ground waters).

c. Air conditioning condensate (excluding contact and non-contact cooling water).

d. Irrigation water (including landscape and lawn watering runoff).

Flows from springs, riparian habitats and wetlands, water reservoir discharges and diverted stream flows.

Residential car washing water and residential swimming pool discharges.

f.

a.

SECTION VII. Severability. Each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase of this Ordinance is declared to be an independent section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase and the finding or holding of any such portion of this Ordinance to be unconstitutional, void or ineffective for any cause or reason, shall not affect any other portion of this Ordinance.

SECTION VIII. Effective date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and any publication as may be required by law.

MAY

Introduced: October 4, 2005 Adopted: October 18, 2005

Offered for adoption Mr. Walling, second by Mrs. Atkins

Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Councilmembers Atkins, Doyle, Bergen, Wedick, Walling, Hassmiller

Nays: None Abstain: None Absent: None

ATTEST:

100 JUDITH L. POLING, RMC BOROUGH CLERK

APPR JOHN.

ORDINANCE NO. 19-05 AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING REQUIREMENT REGARDING DISPOSAL OF PET WASTE IN THE BOROUGH OF KEYPORT, COUNTY OF MONMOUTH AND STATE OF NEW JERSEY

SECTION I. Purpose. An Ordinance to establish requirements for the proper disposal of pet solid waste in the Borough of Keyport, so as to protect public health, safety and welfare and to prescribe penalties for failure to comply.

7-17-200

SECTION II. Definitions. For the purpose of this ordinance, the following terms, phrases, words and their derivations shall have the meanings stated herein unless their use in the text of this Chapter clearly demonstrates a different meaning. When not inconsistent with the context, words used in the present tense include the future, words used in the plural number include the singular number, and words used in the singular number include the plural number. The word "shall" is always mandatory and not merely directory.

Immediate – shall mean that the pet solid waste is removed at once, without delay.

a.

b.__

c.

d.

e.

f.

Owner/Keeper – any person who shall possess, maintain, house or harbor any pet or otherwise have custody of any pet, whether or not the owner of such pet.

Person – any individual, corporation, company, partnership, firm, association or political subdivision of this State subject to municipal jurisdiction.

Pet – a domesticated animal (other than a disability assistance animal) kept for amusement or companionship.

Pet solid waste - waste matter expelled from the bowels of the pet; excrement.

Proper disposal – Placement in a designated waste receptacle or other suitable container and discarded in a refuse container which is regularly emptied by the municipality or some other refuse collector or disposal into a system designed to convey domestic sewage for proper treatment and disposal.

SECTION III. Requirement for Disposal. All pet owners and keepers are required to immediately and properly dispose of their pet's solid waste deposited on any property, public or private, not owned or possessed by that person.

SECTION IV. Exemptions. Any owner or keeper who requires the use of a disability assistance animal shall be exempt from the provisions of this section while such animal is being used for that purpose.

SECTION V. Enforcement. The provisions of this Article shall be enforced by the Police Department and Board of Health of the Borough of Keyport.

SECTION VI. Violations and Penalty. Any person(s), entity, firm, corporation or other group that is found guilty of violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be subject to any penalty set forth in Section 1-5 of the General Code. Each incident shall be considered as a separate violation

SECTION VII. Inconsistent Ordinances. All Ordinances or parts thereof inconsistent with the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby repealed as to such inconsistency.

SECTION VIII. Severability. Each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase of this Ordinance is declared to be an independent section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase and the finding or holding of any such portion of this Ordinance to be unconstitutional, void or ineffective for any cause or reason, shall not affect any other portion of this Ordinance.

SECTION IX. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and any publication as may be required by law.

Introduced: October 4, 2005 Adopted: October 18, 2005

Offered for adoption Mr. Walling, second by Mr. Doyle

Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Councilmembers Atkins, Doyle, Bergen, Wedick, Walling, Hassmiller

Nays: None Abstain: None Absent: None

ATTEST:

UDITH L. POLING. RMC BOROUGH CLERK

JOI

MAYOR

APPRØ

ORDINANCE NO. 20-05 AN ORDINANCE TO ESTABLISH A YARD WASTE COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL PROGRAM IN THE BOROUGH OF KEYPORT, COUNTY OF MONMOUTH AND STATE OF NEW JERSEY

SECTION I. Purpose. An ordinance to establish a yard waste collection and disposal program in the Borough of Keyport, so as to protect public health, safety and welfare and to prescribe penalties for the failure to comply.

0-18-200:

SECTION II. Definitions. For the purpose of this Ordinance, the following terms, phrases, words and their derivations shall have the meanings stated herein unless their use in the text of this Chapter clearly demonstrates a different meaning. When not inconsistent with the context, words used in the plural number include the singular number and words used in the singular number include the plural number. The word "shall" is always mandatory and not merely directory.

- a. Containerized means the placement of yard waste in a trash can, bucket, bag or other vessel, such as to prevent the yard waste from spilling or blowing out into the street and coming into contact with stormwater.
 - Person any individual, corporation, company, partnership, firm, association or political subdivision of this State subject to municipal jurisdiction.
 - Street means any street, avenue, boulevard, road, parkway, viaduct, drive or other way, which is an existing State, County or Municipal roadway and includes the land between the street lines, which improved or unimproved and many comprise pavement, shoulders, gutters, curbs, sidewalks, parking areas and other areas within the street lines.

d. Yard Waste – means leaves and grass clippings.

b.

с.

SECTION III. Yard Waste Collection. Sweeping, raking, blowing or otherwise placing yard waste that is not containerized at the curb or along the street is only allowed during the seven (7) days prior to a scheduled and announced collection and shall not be placed closer than 10 feet from any storm drain inlet. Placement of such yard waste at the curb or along the street at any other time or in any other manner is a violation of this Ordinance. If such placement of yard waste occurs, the party responsible for placement of the yard waste must remove the yard waste from the street or said party shall be deemed in violation of this ordinance.

SECTION IV. Enforcement. The provisions of this ordinance shall be enforced by the Police Department and Board of Health of the Borough of Keyport.

SECTION V. Violations and Penalties. Any person(s), entity, firm, corporation or other group that is found guilty of violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be subject to any penalty set forth in Section 1-5 of the General Code. Each incident shall be considered as a separate violation

SECTION VI. Inconsistent Ordinances. All Ordinances or parts thereof inconsistent with the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby repealed as to such inconsistency.

SECTION VII. Severability. Each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase of this Ordinance is declared to be an independent section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase

and the finding or holding of any such portion of this Ordinance to be unconstitutional void or ineffective for any cause or reason shall not affect any other portion of this Ordinance.

SECTION VIII. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and any publication as may be required by law.

Introduced: October 4, 2005 Adopted: October 18, 2005

Offered for adoption Mr. Walling, second by Mr. Hassmiller

Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Councilmembers Atkins, Doyle, Bergen, Wedick, Walling, Hassmiller Nays: None Abstain: None Absent: None

MÁYOR

ATTEST:

UDITH L. POLING, RMC BOROUGH CLERK

APPRØ JOIM T.M

ORDINANCE #18 -10 Private Storm Drain Inlet Retrofitting

SECTION I. Purpose:

An ordinance requiring the retrofitting of existing storm drain inlets which are in direct contact with repaving, repairing, reconstruction, or resurfacing or alterations of facilities on private property, to prevent the discharge of solids and floatables (such as plastic bottles, cans, food wrappers and other litter) to the municipal separate storm sewer system(s) operated by the Borough of Keyport so as to protect public health, safety and welfare, and to prescribe penalties for the failure to comply.

SECTION II. Definitions:

For the purpose of this ordinance, the following terms, phrases, words, and their derivations shall have the meanings stated herein unless their use in the text of this Chapter clearly demonstrates a different meaning. When not inconsistent with the context, words used in the present tense include the future, words used in the plural number include the singular number, and words used in the singular number include the plural number. The word "shall" is always mandatory and not merely directory.

a. Municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4)– a conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, manmade channels, or storm drains) that is owned or operated by Borough of Keyport or other public body, and is designed and used for collecting and conveying stormwater. **NOTE:** In municipalities with combined sewer systems, add the following: "MS4s do not include combined sewer systems, which are sewer systems that are designed to carry sanitary sewage at all times and to collect and transport stormwater from streets and other sources."

b. Person – any individual, corporation, company, partnership, firm, association, or political subdivision of this State subject to municipal jurisdiction.

c. Storm drain inlet- an opening in a storm drain used to collect stormwater runoff and includes, but is not limited to, a grate inlet, curb-opening inlet, slotted inlet, and combination inlet.

d. Waters of the State – means the ocean and its estuaries, all springs, streams and bodies of surface or ground water, whether natural or artificial, within the boundaries of the State of New Jersey or subject to its jurisdiction.

SECTION III. Prohibited Conduct:

No person in control of private property (except a residential lot with one single family house) shall authorize the repaving, repairing (excluding the repair of individual potholes), resurfacing (including top coating or chip sealing with asphalt emulsion or a thin base of hot bitumen), reconstructing or altering any surface that is in direct contact with an existing storm drain inlet on that property unless the storm drain inlet either:

Already meets the design standard below to control passage of solid and floatable materials; or

Is retrofitted or replaced to meet the standard in Section IV below prior to the completion of the project.

SECTION IV. Design Standard:

Storm drain inlets identified in Section III above shall comply with the following standard to control passage of solid and floatable materials through storm drain inlets. For purposes of this paragraph, "solid and floatable materials" means sediment, debris, trash, and other floating, suspended, or settleable solids. For exemptions to this standard see Section V.3 below.

1. Design engineers shall use either of the following grates whenever they use a grate in pavement or another ground surface to collect stormwater from that surface into a storm drain or surface water body under that grate:

a. The New Jersey Department of Transportation (NJDOT) bicycle safe grate, which is described in Chapter 2.4 of the NJDOT Bicycle Compatible Roadways and Bikeways Planning and Design Guidelines (April 1996); or

b. A different grate, if each individual clear space in that grate has an area of no more than seven (7.0) square inches, or is no greater than 0.5 inches across the smallest dimension. Examples of grates subject to this standard include grates in grate inlets, the grate portion (non-curb-opening portion) of combination inlets, grates on storm sewer manholes, ditch grates, trench grates, and grates of spacer bars in slotted drains. Examples of ground surfaces include surfaces of roads (including bridges), driveways, parking areas, bikeways, plazas, sidewalks, lawns, fields, open channels, and stormwater basin floors.

2. Whenever design engineers use a curb-opening inlet, the clear space in that curb opening (or each individual clear space, if the curb opening has two or more clear spaces) shall have an area of no more than seven (7.0) square inches, or be no greater than two (2.0) inches across the smallest dimension.

3. This standard does not apply:

a. Where the municipal engineer agrees that this standard would cause inadequate hydraulic performance that could not practicably be overcome by using additional or larger storm drain inlets that meet these standards;

b. Where flows are conveyed through any device (e.g., end of pipe netting facility, manufactured treatment device, or a catch basin hood) that is designed, at a minimum, to prevent delivery of all solid and floatable materials that could not pass through one of the following:

i. A rectangular space four and five-eighths inches long and one and one-half inches wide (this option does not apply for outfall netting facilities); or

ii. A bar screen having a bar spacing of 0.5 inches.

c. Where flows are conveyed through a trash rack that has parallel bars with one-inch (1") spacing between the bars; or

d. Where the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection determines, pursuant to the New Jersey Register of Historic Places Rules at N.J.A.C. 7:4-7.2(c), that action to meet this standard is an undertaking that constitutes an encroachment or will damage or destroy the New Jersey Register listed historic property.

SECTION V. Enforcement:

This ordinance shall be enforced by the Code Enforcement Official of the Borough of Keyport.

SECTION VI. Penalties:

Any person(s) who is found to be in violation of the provisions of this ordinance shall be subject to a fine not to exceed \$1500.00 for each storm drain inlet that is not retrofitted to meet the design standard.

SECTION VII. Severability:

Each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase of this Ordinance is declared to be an independent section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase, and the finding or holding of any such portion of this Ordinance to be unconstitutional, void, or ineffective for any cause, or reason, shall not affect any other portion of this Ordinance.

SECTION VIII. Effective date:

This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and any publication as may be required by law.

Introduced: December 7, 2010 Public Hearing: December 21, 2010 Adopted: December 21, 2010

Valerie T. Heilweil, RMC Borough Clerk Borough of Keyport Robert J. Bergen, Mayor Borough of Keyport

SPPP Form 7 – Street Sweeping

All records must be available upon request by NJDEP.

1. Provide a written description or attach a map indicating which streets are swept as required by the NJPDES permit. Describe the sweeping schedule and indicate if any of the streets are swept by another entity through a shared service arrangement.

The Borough DPW sweeps the following roadways twice per week as required by the NJPDES Permit:

- West Front Street between American Legion Drive and Church Street
- American Legion Drive
- Broad Street between Third Street and American Legion Drive
- Fireman's Park, Borough Hall, Broad Street and Waterfront Park Parking Lots

Attached is a map of the roadways that are swept each week.

2. Provide a written description or attach a map indicating which streets are swept that are NOT required to be swept by the NJPDES permit. Describe the sweeping schedule and indicate if any of the streets are swept by another entity through a shared service arrangement.

The remainder of the Borough roadways are swept once per year on a rotating basis typically in the Spring.

3. Does the municipality provide street sweeping services for other municipalities? If so, please describe the arrangements.

No.

4. Indicate the location of records, including sweeping dates, areas swept, number of miles swept and total amount of wet tons collected each month. Note which records correspond to sweeping activities beyond what is required by the NJPDES permit, i.e., sweepings of streets within the municipality that are not required by permit to be swept or sweepings of streets outside of the municipality.

Please see enclosed summary.



| | S | treet Sweeper Lo | g |
|---------|----------------------|---|--|
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 17/10 | 9,6 | FLASSES DAVIT: PANNES TOTS. | |
| | S | Street Sweeper Lo | og |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 1/10/20 | DF | Bussie Distrit, Parè caletsi | |
| Date | Driver's Initials | Street Sweeper Lo List Streets Swept | Og Total Number of Offload for the Day |
| 1/14/20 | D.F | Prosent a Dister a | |
| | A | Street Sweeper L | og |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offload for the Day |
| 1/17/20 | - D.F | PArking Lots. | |

| | St | reet Sweeper Log | 5 Coffeeda |
|---------|----------------------|--------------------|---|
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| | | | |
| | | Bursness District. | |
| | DFE | Parky 6.75. | 1 |
| 1/24/20 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | treet Sweeper Lo |) <i>g</i> |
| | | | Total Number of Offloads |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | for the Day |
| | | Row alter | - |
| | - | Portugle R. | |
| 168/20 | DF | 1. sillers | |
| IND OF | | | _ |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | S | Street Sweeper Lo | og |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| • | | | _ |
| | | Billing Distict. | - 1 |
| italla | 00 | PARKE 615. | |
| 1/31/20 | U.Y | | |
| | 31 | | |
| | 191 - g | | |
| | | Current T | 00 |
| | | Street Sweeper L | Total Number of Offloads |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | for the Day |
| | | 0 0 1 1 | |
| | | Bussie Aster. | |
| 5/11 | DF | PARKig LTS. | · · |
| 2/4/20 | N.I | | |
| | | (A) | |
| 1 | | | |
| | | | |

| | S | treet Sweeper Lo | g |
|-----------|----------------------|---|---|
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 17/20 | DF | Burgurs Datet. | |
| | S | treet Sweeper Lo |)g |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 2/18/20 | D.F | Buconus Drawto EArking Lots, | |
| Date | Driver's Initials | Street Sweeper Lo List Streets Swept | Og Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 2 25 /20 | DE | Burgaris AsturT. PAStuglais, | 3 |
| | Driver's | Street Sweeper L List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offload |
| Date | Initials | List Streets Swept | for the Day |
| 2.08ko | DE | Partic Lots. | |
| | | | |

<u>, c</u>

| | St | treet Sweeper Lo | g |
|-------------|----------------------|---|---|
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| | | C No. | |
| | <u></u> | Busines Dotet. | - 1 |
| 3/3/20 | D.F | NAI 149 Lei 2. | - |
| | | | |
| | S | treet Sweeper Lo |)g |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| | | BASASS DISTOR. | _ |
| 316120 | D.F | Factly 615. | |
| | - | | |
| Date | Driver's | Street Sweeper Lo List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads |
| Date | Initials | | for the Day |
| · · · · · · | | WANKS DISTUT. | |
| 3/10/20 | J.F | Sunday Lots. | |
| | чи ж | | - |
| | | Street Sweeper L | 0g |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| | | | - 1 |
| 3/17/20 | DE | BUKSNES DISTICTO PAPKy LUIS, | |
| | | | _ |
| | 1 | | |

| | S | treet Sweeper Lo | 8 |
|---------|----------------------|---|---|
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 3/20/20 | DL | Attic Lots. Susses Wards. | |
| | | Street Sweeper Lo |)g |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 3/24/20 | DE | Particip Lits. ELENGK Distart | |
| Date | Driver's | Street Sweeper Lo List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads |
| Date | Initials | | for the Day |
| 3/27/20 | DE | PAIKin Lets, Burshir Dolici, | 1. |
| | | Street Sweeper L | og |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 3/31/20 | DF | RIESWESDISTET PATKING LEIS | |
| | | | |
| | St | treet Sweeper Lo | g |
|---------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 1/3/20 | D.F | Brassing, DSSET. | |
| | | treet Sweeper Lo | Dg |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 1/22/20 | D'E | Burnis Dotiett | |
| | | | - - - |
| | | Street Sweeper Lo | Og Total Number of Offloads |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | for the Day |
| 5/2/20 | D.F | Birshes Distict- Party Liz- | |
| | | | |
| | | Street Sweeper L | Og Total Number of Offload |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | for the Day |
| 5/5/20 | D.F | Rukswick Urthete Profily LTS- | |
| 19 | | | |

| S | treet Sweeper Lo | g |
|----------------------|--|--|
| Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| DF | Russes District. Party Liz. | |
| | Street Sweeper Lo | |
| Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| D.F | Bussier District - Pristing Lots - | |
| Driver's Initials | Street Sweeper Lo List Streets Swept | Og Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| DE | 1st Section 2nd Section 3:2 secon 4th secon | |
| | Street Sweeper L | og |
| Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| DF | Burgeres District- PAIRing Lots, | |
| | Driver's Initials DF DF Driver's Initials Driver's Initials Driver's Initials | Driver's Initials List Streets Swept Driver's Reden List Driver's List Streets Swept Driver's List Streets Swept D.F Susseen Dettet - Packing List D.F Susseen Dettet - Packing List D.F Susseen Dettet - Packing List Driver's List Streets Swept Driver's List Streets Swept Driver's List Streets Swept DF Section Driver's List Streets Swept DF Section DF Section Driver's List Streets Swept DF Section DF Section |

| | St | treet Sweeper Log | g |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 1/2/2020 | D.F | Burssies DSTrT. JANEUS 675- | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | S | treet Sweeper Lo |)g |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 7/14/2020 | DF | BUSSINGS ACTOF, PAGICIN LUTS. | |
| | Driver's | Street Sweeper Lo | Total Number of Offload |
| Date | Initials | List Streets Swept | for the Day |
| 7/17/2020 | D.F | Bursner Distict. PACKy GTS. | |
| | -a); | | |
| | | Street Sweeper L | og |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offload for the Day |
| | D.E | Rutangi Batet, Prikas 1615, | 1 |
| 7/21/200 | ₩.\ | | |

| | S | treet Sweeper Lo | 8 |
|-----------|----------------------|--|---|
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 7/28/200 | D.C | BURGHE, DETER, PartigleD, | |
| | S | treet Sweeper Lo |)g |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 8/11/2000 | D.F | Russies District. Partiples. | |
| Date | Driver's Initials | Street Sweeper Lo List Streets Swept | Og Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| PINA | D,f | RUSSINGERDETTELT PANGALETS. Butler st. |) |
| | | Street Sweeper L | Og Total Number of Offloads |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | for the Day |
| 8-18 kazo | D.F | Russman Detict. PANKIO LOTS - | |
| | | | |

| | S | Street Sweeper Lo | 8 |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------|---|
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| | | Bussing Distict. | |
| | | Parking Lott. | |
| | 00 | | _ |
| biboza | QF | | - |
| locil de- | | | -1 |
| | | | - |
| | | | |
| | the second se | Street Sweeper Lo | Total Number of Offloads |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | for the Day |
| | | R. KROST DZYKT. | - |
| | | RATIONGS DETET. | |
| | 0.0 | (1. 00) 0 (7 * | |
| 11/2000 | DF. | | |
| 111 Jane | υ, (| | |
| | - | | |
| | | Street Sweeper Lo | og |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| | | | |
| | | VAIKin Cots . | (|
| allho | DC 1 | Aussach Distrit. | 24) 24) |
| 1/11-200 | | | |
| | | | - |
| | 25 | | |
| | | Street Sweeper L | ng |
| | | | 0 |
| | | | Total Number of Offload |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offload for the Day |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | |
| Date 918/2020 | Driver's | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offload for the Day |
| Date 918/2020 | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | |
| Date 918/2020 | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | |

| | S | treet Sweeper Lo | g |
|-----------|----------------------|---|---|
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 9/11/2020 | D.F | Bursoness Detect. Philipping lots a | |
| | | | |
| | S | street Sweeper Lo | <u>ng</u> |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 9/18220 | P,f | Bussies Astril, Parkie 6/3, | |
| Date | Driver's Initials | S <i>treet Sweeper Lo</i> List Streets Swept | Og Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| | , initials | BUSSANCE ALCOM | 1. |
| בבןכרןף | Ď÷. | | |
| | | Street Sweeper L | og |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 9/25/2020 | D.F | BURSWAN ASTET a FAILEY LOTS . | |
| | | | |

| | St | treet Sweeper Log | 5 |
|-------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 1/28 | | High Schoul tenchers Lot Monroe Schoul Sude of Street | 1/4 |
| | S | treet Sweeper Lo | <i>g</i> |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 9/29/201 | D, F | Bussness Actel PANGG Lots, | - - - |
| Date | Driver's Initials | Street Sweeper Lo List Streets Swept | 9 Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 10 /2/2020 | D'E | Busisonss Austri T Parikug Las | |
| Date | Driver's Initials | Street Sweeper Lo List Streets Swept | O g Total Number of Offload for the Day |
| 10 jt /2000 | | Bisses Distict PAN WLETS | 1 |
| | | | |

| | S | treet Sweeper Lo | 8 |
|------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 10/9/2020 | D.F | Sinper ? Bread S Datiet Prata Lots | |
| | - | treet Sweeper Lo |)g |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 10/27/200 | 06 | Swell Bursness Distri PATE My Lots | |
| | | Street Sweeper Lo | 0g Total Number of Offloads |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | for the Day |
| 11/6/2020 | DF | Basing Polici Anifing Lits | |
| | | Street Sweeper L | 0g |
| Date | Driver's Initials | List Streets Swept | Total Number of Offloads for the Day |
| 11/10/2020 | DF | Bucsours actuat Romking lad a | |
| | | | |

SPPP Form 8 – Catch Basins and Storm Drain Inlets

All records must be available upon request by NJDEP.

1. Describe the schedule for catch basin and storm drain inlet inspection, cleaning, and maintenance.

The DPW is responsible for inspecting, cleaning and maintain the catch basins and storm drain inlets. The DPW will split the Borough in to four zones aligning with the recycling zones. Each of the zones will be inspected in one year to ensure that the entire Borough is inspected once a year. Records will be provided with the annual SPPP review.

2. List the locations of catch basins and storm drain inlets with recurring problems, i.e., flooding, accumulated debris, etc.

East First Street, First Street, Beers Street, Division Street. These areas are susceptible to flooding and require removal of siltation and debris. During the course of the 2021 inspections, specific inlet locations will be identified and a plan for repair implemented.

3. Describe what measures are taken to address issues for catch basins and storm drain inlets with recurring problems and how they are prioritized.

Whenever a roadway is reconstructed, the inlets are cleaned and reconstructed to aid in maintenance. Specific locations are targeted for grant applications and capital projects to address the problems.

4. Describe the inspection schedule and maintenance plan for storm drain inlet labels on storm drains that do not have permanent wording cast into the design.

Borough volunteers have stenciled Borough storm drain inlets with a picture of a blue fish. The Borough has also installed the Duracast plastic labels on inlets around the Borough. The Borough DPW will maintain the labels going forward and will include this as part of the catch basin inspections.

5. Indicate the location of records of catch basin and storm drain inlet inspections and the wet tons of materials collected during catch basin and storm drain inlet cleanings.

The DPW maintains these records. Future records will be included with the SPPP updates.

SPPP Form 9 – Storm Drain Inlet Retrofitting

All records must be available upon request by NJDEP.

| 1. | Describe the procedure for ensuring that municipally owned storm drain inlets are retrofitted. |
|----|--|
| | The Borough DPW or Clean Communities retrofits existing inlet heads. As roadways are resurfaced, each inlet on the roadways are retrofitted with Type "N" Eco castings and bicycle safe grates. |
| 2. | Describe the inspection process to verify that appropriate retrofits are completed on municipally owned storm drain inlets. |
| | The Borough Engineer and DPW Director inspect the inlets once paving projects are completed. |
| 3. | Describe the procedure for ensuring that privately owned storm drain inlets are retrofitted. |
| | Once a private site is complete, the Borough Engineer will ensure that each of the inlets are retrofitted. If a parking lot is resurfaced, the Borough Engineer will follow up with a letter to the individual property owners to ensure the inlets are retrofitted. |
| 4. | Describe the inspection process to verify that appropriate retrofits are completed on privately owned storm drain inlets. |
| | The Borough Engineer will follow up with an inspection after the initial letters are provided to the property owner. |
| | |
| | |

SPPP Form 10 – Municipal Maintenance Yards and Other Ancillary Operations (DPW YARD)

All records must be available upon request by NJDEP.

Complete separate forms for each municipal yard or ancillary operation location.

Address of municipal yard or ancillary operation:

DPW Yard - 120 Francis Street

List all materials and machinery located at this location that are exposed to stormwater which could be a source of pollutant in a stormwater discharge:

- Raw materials
 - o Play Sand (1 pile)
 - \circ ³/₄ Blue Stone (1 pile)
 - o Recycle Concrete Aggregate (1 Pile)
 - o Cold Patch (1 Pile)
 - o Field Stone (1 Pile)
 - o Oyster Shells (1 Pile)
- Borough Vehicles
 - Four (4) Dump Trucks
 - o Three (3) Backhoes
 - One (1) Utility Truck
 - One (1) All Terrain Truck
- Equipment
 - o Cement Mixer
 - o Standby Generator
 - o Plows
 - o Sanders
- Miscellaneous Items
 - o Sign Posts
 - o Benches
 - Fire Hydrants

For each category below, describe the best management practices in place to ensure compliance with all requirements in permit Attachment E. If the activity in the category is not applicable for this location, indicate where it occurs.

Indicate the location of inspection logs and tracking forms associated with this municipal yard or ancillary operation, including documentation of conditions requiring attention and remedial actions that have been taken or have been planned.

1. Fueling Operations

The Borough does not perform fueling operations within their facilities.

2. Vehicle Maintenance

The Borough maintains vehicles within the DPW building.

3. On-Site Equipment and Vehicle Washing See permit attachment E for certification and log forms for Underground Storage Tanks.

The Borough does not wash vehicles on site.

4. Discharge of Stormwater from Secondary Containment

The Borough does not have an area of Secondary Containment.

5. Salt and De-Icing Material Storage and Handling

Salt is stored within a 25' x 30' salt dome at Rollo Place.

6. Aggregate Material and Construction Debris Storage

There is very little aggregate and construction debris stored at this property. A silt fence has been installed adjacent to the chain line fence to ensure materials are kept within the yard.

7. Street Sweepings, Catch Basin Clean Out and Other Material Storage

This is not stored at this site.

8. Yard Trimmings and Wood Waste Management Sites

This is not stored at this site.

9. Roadside Vegetation Management

This is not stored at this site. The Borough does not apply pesticides along roadways.

SPPP Form 10 – Municipal Maintenance Yards and Other Ancillary Operations (Rollo Place Lot)

All records must be available upon request by NJDEP.

Complete separate forms for each municipal yard or ancillary operation location.

Address of municipal yard or ancillary operation:

Rollo Place – Rollo Place and Chingarora Avenue

List all materials and machinery located at this location that are exposed to stormwater which could be a source of pollutant in a stormwater discharge:

- Raw materials
 - Mulch (1 pile)
 - Concrete and Asphalt Debris from road excavation (1 pile)
 - o Top Soil (1 Pile)
 - Road Salt within a Fabric Salt Dome
- Borough Vehicles
 - Repossessed Vehicles (Approximately 2 vehicles and 1 boat)
 - Retired Borough Vehicles (Approximately 9 vehicles and 1 boat)
 - Four (4) Trailers
- Dumpsters
 - Sweeping Dumpsters Two (2) 30 CY
 - o Leaf Collection Dumpsters Three (3) 30 CY
 - o Storage Container (1)
- Miscellaneous Items
 - o Extra Garbage and Recycle Cans
 - Mobile Stage

For each category below, describe the best management practices in place to ensure compliance with all requirements in permit Attachment E. If the activity in the category is not applicable for this location, indicate where it occurs.

Indicate the location of inspection logs and tracking forms associated with this municipal yard or ancillary operation, including documentation of conditions requiring attention and remedial actions that have been taken or have been planned.

10. Salt and De-Icing Material Storage and Handling

Salt is stored within a 25' x 30' salt dome at the facility.

11. Aggregate Material and Construction Debris Storage

There is very little aggregate and construction debris stored at this property. The debris is installed within the fence to ensure materials are kept within the yard.

12. Street Sweepings, Catch Basin Clean Out and Other Material Storage

This material is collected in the on-site dumpsters and disposed of by the DPW as necessary.

13. Yard Trimmings and Wood Waste Management Sites

These are containerized in the dumpsters at this facility on a short-term basis until picked up by the contracted Recycling company.

14. Roadside Vegetation Management

These are containerized in the dumpsters at this facility on a short-term basis until picked up by the contracted Recycling company. This is not stored at this site. The Borough does not apply pesticides along roadways.

SPPP Form 10 – Municipal Maintenance Yards and Other Ancillary Operations (Recycling Center)

All records must be available upon request by NJDEP.

Complete separate forms for each municipal yard or ancillary operation location.

Address of municipal yard or ancillary operation:

Recycling Center – Florence Avenue and Route 36

List all materials and machinery located at this location that are exposed to stormwater which could be a source of pollutant in a stormwater discharge:

- Dumpsters
 - o Garbage Dumpster One (1) 30 CY
 - Leaf Collection Dumpster One (1) 30 CY
 - Electronic Scrap Dumpster (1)
 - Metal Recycling Dumpster One (1) 30 CY
 - Recycle Dumpsters Four (4) 6 CY
 - o Cardboard Compactor and Box (1)
 - o Storage Container (1)

For each category below, describe the best management practices in place to ensure compliance with all requirements in permit Attachment E. If the activity in the category is not applicable for this location, indicate where it occurs.

Indicate the location of inspection logs and tracking forms associated with this municipal yard or ancillary operation, including documentation of conditions requiring attention and remedial actions that have been taken or have been planned.

SPPP Form 11 – Employee Training

All records must be available upon request by NJDEP.

A. Municipal Employee Training: Stormwater Program Coordinator (SPC) must ensure appropriate staff receive training on topics in the chart below as required due to job duties assigned within three months of commencement of duties and again on the frequency below. Indicate the location of associated training sign in sheets, dates, and agendas or description for each topic.

| Торіс | Frequency | Title of trainer or office to |
|---|---------------|-------------------------------|
| | | conduct training |
| 1. Maintenance Yard Operations (including | Every year | DPW Director |
| Ancillary Operations) | | |
| 2. Stormwater Facility Maintenance | Every year | DPW Director |
| 3. SPPP Training & Recordkeeping | Every year | DPW Director |
| 4. Yard Waste Collection Program | Every 2 years | DPW Director |
| 5. Street Sweeping | Every 2 years | DPW Director |
| 6. Illicit Connection Elimination and Outfall | Every 2 years | DPW Director |
| Pipe Mapping | | |
| 7. Outfall Pipe Stream Scouring Detection | Every 2 years | DPW Director |
| and Control | | |
| 8. Waste Disposal Education | Every 2 years | DPW Director |
| 9. Municipal Ordinances | Every 2 years | DPW Director |
| 10. Construction Activity/Post-Construction | Every 2 years | Borough Engineer |
| Stormwater Management in New | | |
| Development and Redevelopment | | |

B. **Municipal Board and Governing Body Members Training:** Required for individuals who review and approve applications for development and redevelopment projects in the municipality. This includes members of the planning and zoning boards, town council, and anyone else who votes on such projects. Training is in the form of online videos, posted at www.nj.gov/dep/stormwater/training.htm.

Within 6 months of commencing duties, watch *Asking the Right Questions in Stormwater Review Training Tool.* Once per term thereafter, watch at least one of the online DEP videos in the series available under Post-Construction Stormwater Management. Indicate the location of records documenting the names, video titles, and dates completed for each board and governing body member.

Record of Governing Body Training:

Mayor Kennedy ____

Councilman Cooper ____ Councilman Fotopoulos ___

Councilman Goode ____ Councilwoman McDermott ___

Councilwoman Pacheco Councilman Sheridan ____

| Record of Land Use Board Training |
|---|
| Mark Sessa |
| Roger Benedict |
| Nicholas Vecchio |
| Harry M. Aumack, II |
| Lori Ann Davidson |
| Kenneth Howe Donald J. Pacheco |
| Donald J. Pacheco |
| Juan Carlos Oviedo |
| Joanne Royster |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| C. Stormwater Management Design Reviewer Training: All design engineers, municipal |
| engineers, and others who review the stormwater management design for development and redevelopment projects on behalf of the municipality must attend the first available class upon |
| assignment as a reviewer and every five years thereafter. The course is a free, two-day training |
| conducted by DEP staff. Training dates and locations are posted at |
| www.nj.gov/dep/stormwater/training.htm. Indicate the location of the DEP certificate of |
| completion for each reviewer. |
| |
| Trevor J. Taylor, PE has completed the course and is the Planning Board Engineer and Borough |
| Engineer. |
| |

SPPP Form 12 – Outfall Pipes

All records must be available upon request by NJDEP.

1. **Mapping:** Attach an image or provide a link to the most current outfall pipe map. Maps shall be updated at the end of each calendar year.

http://www.keyportonline.com/stormwater

A copy of the mapping is attached hereto.

Note that ALL maps must be electronic by 21 Dec 2020 via the DEP's designated electronic submission service. For details, see <u>http://www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/msrp_map_aid.htm</u>.

2. **Inspections:** Describe the outfall pipe inspection schedule and indicate the location of records of dates, locations, and findings.

Borough personnel will verify the locations of the existing outfalls during regular outfall inspections. If necessary, additional investigations will be preformed under the Illicit Connection Elimination Program and the Outfall Stream Scouring Remediation Program. All outfalls will be inspected in 2021.

3. **Stream Scouring:** Describe the program in place to detect, investigate and control localized stream scouring from stormwater outfall pipes. Indicate the location of records related to cases of localized stream scouring. Such records must include the contributing source(s) of stormwater, recommended corrective action, and a prioritized list and schedule to remediate scouring cases.

Borough personnel will conduct outfall pipe scouring detection during the illicit connection inspection in 2021. Outfall pipes that show signs of scouring will be report to the Borough Engineer, evaluated and prioritized for repairs in accordance with the Standards for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control in New Jersey. Repairs that do not require NJDEP permits will be prioritized first.

4. **Illicit Discharges:** Describe the program in place for conducting visual dry weather inspections of municipally owned or operated outfall pipes. Record cases of illicit discharges using the DEP's Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form (<u>www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/tier_a_forms.htm</u>) and indicate the location of these forms and related illicit discharge records.

Note that Illicit Connection Inspection Report Forms shall be included in the SPPP and submitted to DEP with the annual report.

Borough personnel will initiate the illicit connection inspection of each outfall during the MS4 Outfall mapping process. They will utilize the DEP provided Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form to conduct the inspection and file the forms with the SPPP accordingly. Outfalls that are found to have dry weather flow or evidence of an intermittent non stormwater flow will be re-inspected. IF an illicit connection is identified and located the responsible party will be cited for being in violation of the Borough Illicit Connection Ordinance and the connection will be eliminated. If, after three investigation attempts, the illicit connection is not found, a Close Out investigation form will be prepared and submitted along with the Borough Annual Inspection and Recertification Report, Illicit connection found to originate from another public entity will be reported to the Borough to the affected entity and the DWP. Illicit discharge inspections will be performed in 2021.

| | Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form |
|-----------------------------|---|
| 2 c | Municipality: County |
| Municipality Information | NJPDES # :PI ID #: |
| orm | Team Member: |
| Mu | DateEffective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): |
| Outfa | II #:Location: |
| Rece | ving Waterbody: |
| 1. Is | there a dry weather flow? Y (🗌) N (🔲) |
| (fl | 'YES", what is the outfall flow estimate? gpm ow sample should be kept for further testing, and this form will need to be submitted th the Annual Report and Certification) |
| 3. Ar | e there any indications of an intermittent flow? Y (\Box) N (\Box) |
| co | ou answered " NO " to BOTH questions #1 and #3, there is probably not an illicit nnection and you can skip to question #7. |
| | ou answered " YES " to either question, please continue on to question #5. |
| 5. P ł | IYSICAL OBSERVATIONS: |
| (a) o c | OR: none |
| (b) cc | LOR: none |
| (c) TU | RBIDITY: none |
| (d) FL | OATABLES: none |
| (e) DE | POSITS/STAINS: none |
| (f) VE | GETATION CONDITIONS: normal |
| (g) DA | MAGE TO OUTFALL STRUCTURES: |
| | IDENTIFY STRUCTURE: |
| | DAMAGE: none |
| | ALYSES OF OUTFALL FLOW SAMPLE: and calibrate instruments in accordance with manufacturer's instructions prior to testing. |
| (a) DE | TERGENTS:mg/L |
| sar | ample is greater than 0.06 mg/L, the sample is contaminated with detergents [which may be from itary wastewater or other sources]. Further testing is required and this outfall should be given the nest priority.) |
| was the | ne sample is not greater than 0.06 mg/L and it does not show physical characteristics of sanitary stewater [e.g., odor, floatables, and/or color] it is unlikely that it is from sanitary wastewater sources, yet re may still be an illicit connection of industrial wastewater, rinse water, backwash or cooling water. |

| (h) | |
|-----|---|
| |) AMMONIA (as N) TO POTASSIUM RATIO: |
| | (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is greater than 0.6:1, then it is likely that the pollutant is sanitary sewage) |
| | (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is less than or equal to 0.6:1, then the pollutant is from another washwater source.) |
| (c) | FLUORIDE:mg/L |
| | (if the fluoride levels are between 1.0 and 2.5 mg/L, then the flow is most likely from fluoride treated potable water.) |
| | (if the sample tests below a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L for fluoride, it is likely to be from groundwater infiltration, springs or streams. In some cases, however, it is possible that the discharge could originate from an onsite well used for industrial cooling water, which will test non-detect for both detergents and fluoride. To differentiate between these cooling water discharges and groundwater infiltration, you will have to rely on temperature.) |
| (d) | TEMPERATURE:°F |
| | (if the temperature of the sample is over 70°F, it is most likely cooling water) |
| | (if the temperature of the sample is under 70°F, it is most likely from ground water infiltration) |
| 7. | Is there a suspected illicit connection? Y () N () |
| | If " YES ", what is the suspected source? |
| | If "NO", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 8. | Has the investigation of the suspected illicit connection been completed? Y (\square) N (\square) |
| | If " YES ", proceed to question #9. If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 9. | Was the source of the illicit connection found? Y ([]) N ([]) |
| | If "YES", identify the source. |
| | What plan of action will follow to eliminate the illicit connection? |
| | Resolution: |
| | If " NO ", complete the Closeout Investigation Form and attach it to this Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form. |
| Ins | pector's Name: |
| | e: |
| | nature: |
| | ie: |
| | |

| Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form | |
|---|---|
| 2 | Municipality: 1530 County 1505 (Keyport Boro Moumouth County) |
| Municipality | NJPDES # :PI ID #: |
| orm | Team Member: |
| Mu | DateEffective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): |
| Outfa | all #: <u>RB-5</u> Location: <u>American Legion</u> On- |
| | eiving Waterbody: Randon Bay |
| 1. Is | s there a dry weather flow? Y () N () |
| (f w | "YES", what is the outfall flow estimate? gpm low sample should be kept for further testing, and this form will need to be submitted rith the Annual Report and Certification) |
| 3. A | re there any indications of an intermittent flow? Y (\Box) N (\nvdash) |
| 4. If | you answered " NO " to BOTH questions #1 and #3, there is probably not an illicit onnection and you can skip to question #7. NOTE: This form does not need to be submitted to the Department, but should be kept with your SPPP.) |
| | you answered " YES " to either question, please continue on to question #5. IOTE: This form will need to be submitted to the Department with the Annual Report and Certification.) |
| 5. P | HYSICAL OBSERVATIONS: |
| (a) O | DOR: none |
| (b) C | OLOR: none |
| (c) TI | URBIDITY: none |
| (d) F L | LOATABLES: none |
| (e) DI | EPOSITS/STAINS: none |
| (f) VE | EGETATION CONDITIONS: normal |
| (g) D/ | AMAGE TO OUTFALL STRUCTURES: |
| | IDENTIFY STRUCTURE: |
| | DAMAGE: none |
| | NALYSES OF OUTFALL FLOW SAMPLE: ield calibrate instruments in accordance with manufacturer's instructions prior to testing. |
| (a) DE | ETERGENTS:mg/L |
| sa | sample is greater than 0.06 mg/L, the sample is contaminated with detergents [which may be from initary wastewater or other sources]. Further testing is required and this outfall should be given the ghest priority.) |
| wa the | the sample is not greater than 0.06 mg/L and it does not show physical characteristics of sanitary astewater [e.g., odor, floatables, and/or color] it is unlikely that it is from sanitary wastewater sources, yet ere may still be an illicit connection of industrial wastewater, rinse water, backwash or cooling water. kip to question #6c.) |

| (1) | |
|----------|---|
| | AMMONIA (as N) TO POTASSIUM RATIO: |
| | (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is greater than 0.6:1, then it is likely that the pollutant is sanitary sewage) |
| | (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is less than or equal to 0.6:1, then the pollutant is from another washwater source.) |
| (c) | FLUORIDE:mg/L |
| | (if the fluoride levels are between 1.0 and 2.5 mg/L, then the flow is most likely from fluoride treated potable water.) |
| | (if the sample tests below a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L for fluoride, it is likely to be from groundwater infiltration, springs or streams. In some cases, however, it is possible that the discharge could originate from an onsite well used for industrial cooling water, which will test non-detect for both detergents and fluoride. To differentiate between these cooling water discharges and groundwater infiltration, you will have to rely on temperature.) |
| (d) · | TEMPERATURE:°F |
| | (if the temperature of the sample is over 70°F, it is most likely cooling water) |
| | (if the temperature of the sample is under 70°F, it is most likely from ground water infiltration) |
| | s there a suspected illicit connection? Y () N () |
| | f " YES ", what is the suspected source? |
| | f " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 8. H | Has the investigation of the suspected illicit connection been completed? イ (□) N (□) |
| | f " YES ", proceed to question #9. |
| | f "NO", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| ~ ` | |
| | Vas the source of the illicit connection found? Y(□) N(□) |
| | f " YES ", identify the source. |
| | Vhat plan of action will follow to eliminate the illicit connection? |
| F | Resolution: |
| lf Ir | ""NO", complete the Closeout Investigation Form and attach it to this Illicit Connection respection Report Form. |
| nspe | ector's Name: Colm Bell |
| | DALL Sypt |
| | ature: |
| | 7/17/13 |
| | |

| Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form | |
|--|--|
| Municipality: 1580 County 1505 (Herenard Baga / Marshard County) | |
| NJPDES # :PI ID #: Team Member: NJPDES = Effective Date of Permit Authorization (EDRA): | |
| | |
| DateEffective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): | |
| Outfall #: RB-6 Location: American Legia Dr. | |
| Receiving Waterbody: Renifor Bay | |
| 1. Is there a dry weather flow? $Y(\Box) N(\Box)$ | |
| If "YES", what is the outfall flow estimate? gpm (flow sample should be kept for further testing, and this form will need to be submitted with the Annual Report and Certification) | |
| 3. Are there any indications of an intermittent flow? Y (\Box) N (\swarrow) | |
| If you answered "NO" to BOTH questions #1 and #3, there is probably not an illicit connection and you can skip to question #7. (NOTE: This form does not need to be submitted to the Department, but should be kept with your SPPP.) | |
| If you answered " YES " to either question, please continue on to question #5. (NOTE: This form will need to be submitted to the Department with the Annual Report and Certification.) | |
| 5. PHYSICAL OBSERVATIONS: | |
| (a) ODOR: none | |
| (b) COLOR: none | |
| (c) TURBIDITY: none | |
| (d) FLOATABLES: none | |
| (e) DEPOSITS/STAINS : none | |
| (f) VEGETATION CONDITIONS: normal | |
| (g) DAMAGE TO OUTFALL STRUCTURES: | |
| IDENTIFY STRUCTURE: | |
| DAMAGE: none | |
| ANALYSES OF OUTFALL FLOW SAMPLE: * field calibrate instruments in accordance with manufacturer's instructions prior to testing. | |
| (a) DETERGENTS:mg/L | |
| (if sample is greater than 0.06 mg/L, the sample is contaminated with detergents [which may be from sanitary wastewater or other sources]. Further testing is required and this outfall should be given the highest priority.) | |
| (if the sample is not greater than 0.06 mg/L and it does not show physical characteristics of sanitary wastewater [e.g., odor, floatables, and/or color] it is unlikely that it is from sanitary wastewater sources, yet there may still be an illicit connection of industrial wastewater, rinse water, backwash or cooling water. Skip to question #6c.) | |

| (b) AMMONIA (as N) TO POTASSIUM RATIO: |
|---|
| (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is greater than 0.6:1, then it is likely that the pollutant is sanitary sewage) |
| (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is less than or equal to 0.6:1, then the pollutant is from another washwater source.) |
| (c) FLUORIDE:mg/L |
| (if the fluoride levels are between 1.0 and 2.5 mg/L, then the flow is most likely from fluoride treated potable water.) |
| (if the sample tests below a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L for fluoride, it is likely to be from groundwater infiltration, springs or streams. In some cases, however, it is possible that the discharge could originate from an onsite well used for industrial cooling water, which will test non-detect for both detergents and fluoride. To differentiate between these cooling water discharges and groundwater infiltration, you will have to rely on temperature.) |
| (d) TEMPERATURE:°F |
| (if the temperature of the sample is over 70°F, it is most likely cooling water) |
| (if the temperature of the sample is under 70°F, it is most likely from ground water infiltration) |
| |
| 7. Is there a suspected illicit connection? Y () N () |
| If " YES ", what is the suspected source? |
| If "NO", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| Has the investigation of the suspected illicit connection been completed? Y () N () |
| If "YES ", proceed to question #9. If "NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 9. Was the source of the illicit connection found? Y (\Box) N (\Box) |
| If " YES ", identify the source. |
| What plan of action will follow to eliminate the illicit connection? |
| Resolution: |
| If " NO ", complete the Closeout Investigation Form and attach it to this Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form. |
| |
| Inspector's Name: CalenBell |
| Title: Open Sont. |
| Signature: |
| Date: 7/17/13 |
| |

| Illigit Connection Increation Depart Form | |
|---|--|
| | Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form |
| Municipality | E Municipality: 1530 County 1505 (Report Bears / Monmonth County) |
| | Image: State of Permit Authorization (EDPA): |
| | E Team Member: <u>⊂ /œ</u> // |
| | Date 7/8_Effective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): |
| Οι | itfall #: <u>RB-7</u> Location: Barcaren Legin On. |
| | ceiving Waterbody: Kandan Bay |
| 1. | Is there a dry weather flow? Y (🗌) N (🗹) |
| 2. | If "YES", what is the outfall flow estimate? gpm (flow sample should be kept for further testing, and this form will need to be submitted with the Annual Report and Certification) |
| 3. | Are there any indications of an intermittent flow? Y (\Box) N (\Box) |
| 4. | If you answered "NO" to BOTH questions #1 and #3, there is probably not an illicit |
| | connection and you can skip to question #7. (NOTE: This form does not need to be submitted to the Department, but should be kept with your SPPP.) |
| | If you answered " YES " to either question, please continue on to question #5. (NOTE: This form will need to be submitted to the Department with the Annual Report and Certification.) |
| 5. | PHYSICAL OBSERVATIONS: |
| (a) | ODOR: none |
| (b) | COLOR: none |
| (c) | TURBIDITY: none |
| (d) | FLOATABLES: none |
| (e) | DEPOSITS/STAINS: none |
| (f) | VEGETATION CONDITIONS: normal |
| (g) | DAMAGE TO OUTFALL STRUCTURES: |
| | IDENTIFY STRUCTURE: |
| | DAMAGE: none |
| 6. | ANALYSES OF OUTFALL FLOW SAMPLE: * field calibrate instruments in accordance with manufacturer's instructions prior to testing. |
| (a) | DETERGENTS:mg/L |
| | (if sample is greater than 0.06 mg/L, the sample is contaminated with detergents [which may be from sanitary wastewater or other sources]. Further testing is required and this outfall should be given the highest priority.) |
| | (if the sample is not greater than 0.06 mg/L and it does not show physical characteristics of sanitary wastewater [e.g., odor, floatables, and/or color] it is unlikely that it is from sanitary wastewater sources, yet there may still be an illicit connection of industrial wastewater, rinse water, backwash or cooling water. Skip to question #6c.) |

| | - |
|---|---|
| (b) AMMONIA (as N) TO POTASSIUM RATIO: | |
| (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is greater than 0.6:1, then it is likely that the pollutant is sanitary sewage) | |
| (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is less than or equal to 0.6:1, then the pollutant is from another washwater source.) | |
| (c) FLUORIDE:mg/L | |
| (if the fluoride levels are between 1.0 and 2.5 mg/L, then the flow is most likely from fluoride treated potable water.) | |
| (if the sample tests below a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L for fluoride, it is likely to be from groundwater infiltration, springs or streams. In some cases, however, it is possible that the discharge could originate from an onsite well used for industrial cooling water, which will test non-detect for both detergents and fluoride. To differentiate between these cooling water discharges and groundwater infiltration, you will have to rely on temperature.) | |
| (d) TEMPERATURE:°F | |
| (if the temperature of the sample is over 70°F, it is most likely cooling water) | |
| (if the temperature of the sample is under 70°F, it is most likely from ground water infiltration) | |
| 7. Is there a suspected illicit connection? Y () N () | |
| If " YES ", what is the suspected source? | |
| If "NO", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. | |
| Has the investigation of the suspected illicit connection been completed? Y () N () | |
| If " YES ", proceed to question #9. If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. | |
| 9. Was the source of the illicit connection found? Y () N () | |
| If " YES ", identify the source. | |
| What plan of action will follow to eliminate the illicit connection? | |
| Resolution: | |
| If " NO ", complete the Closeout Investigation Form and attach it to this Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form. | |
| Increatoria Namo, C. (., D.) | _ |
| Inspector's Name: Calca Bell | |
| Title: Deu Supt Signature: | |
| | |
| Date: 7/18/13 | |
| If there is a dry weather flow or evidence of an intermittent flow, he even to include this former it | |

If there is a dry weather flow or evidence of an intermittent flow, be sure to include this form with your Annual Report and Certification.

| Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form | | |
|---|-----------------|--|
| E Municipality: 1530 County 1505 (Heypont Bons / Marmorth County) | | |
| NJPDES # :PI ID #: Team Member: V C Date z & Effective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): | | |
| Team Member: | | |
| Date z/s_Effective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): | | |
| Outfall #: <u>RB-7</u> Location: <u>Anowen Legran Dn.</u> | | |
| Receiving Waterbody: Kanifan Bay | | |
| 1. Is there a dry weather flow? Y (\Box) N (\Box) | | |
| If "YES", what is the outfall flow estimate? gpm (flow sample should be kept for further testing, and this form will need to be with the Annual Report and Certification) | submitted | |
| 3. Are there any indications of an intermittent flow? Y (\Box) N (\Box) | | |
| If you answered "NO" to BOTH questions #1 and #3, there is probably not a connection and you can skip to question #7. (NOTE: This form does not need to be submitted to the Department, but should be kept with the probability of the p | | |
| If you answered "YES" to either question, please continue on to question #5 (NOTE: This form will need to be submitted to the Department with the Annual Report and (| | |
| 5. PHYSICAL OBSERVATIONS: | | |
| (a) ODOR: none | | |
| (b) COLOR: none | | |
| (c) TURBIDITY: none | | |
| (d) FLOATABLES: none | | |
| (e) DEPOSITS/STAINS: none | | |
| (f) VEGETATION CONDITIONS: normal | | |
| (g) DAMAGE TO OUTFALL STRUCTURES: | | |
| IDENTIFY STRUCTURE: | | |
| DAMAGE: none | | |
| 6. ANALYSES OF OUTFALL FLOW SAMPLE: * field calibrate instruments in accordance with manufacturer's instructions prior to testing. | | |
| (a) DETERGENTS:mg/L | | |
| (if sample is greater than 0.06 mg/L, the sample is contaminated with detergents [which may sanitary wastewater or other sources]. Further testing is required and this outfall should be (highest priority.) | | |
| (if the sample is not greater than 0.06 mg/L and it does not show physical characteristics of wastewater [e.g., odor, floatables, and/or color] it is unlikely that it is from sanitary wastewat there may still be an illicit connection of industrial wastewater, rinse water, backwash or coo Skip to question #6c.) | er sources, yet | |

| (b) AMMONIA (as N) TO POTASSIUM RATIO: |
|---|
| (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is greater than 0.6:1, then it is likely that the pollutant is sanitary sewage) |
| (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is less than or equal to 0.6:1, then the pollutant is from another washwater source.) |
| (c) FLUORIDE:mg/L |
| (if the fluoride levels are between 1.0 and 2.5 mg/L, then the flow is most likely from fluoride treated potable water.) |
| (if the sample tests below a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L for fluoride, it is likely to be from groundwater infiltration, springs or streams. In some cases, however, it is possible that the discharge could originate from an onsite well used for industrial cooling water, which will test non-detect for both detergents and fluoride. To differentiate between these cooling water discharges and groundwater infiltration, you will have to rely on temperature.) |
| (d) TEMPERATURE:°F |
| (if the temperature of the sample is over 70°F, it is most likely cooling water) |
| (if the temperature of the sample is under 70°F, it is most likely from ground water infiltration) |
| 7. Is there a suspected illicit connection? Y () N () |
| If " YES ", what is the suspected source? |
| If "NO", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| Has the investigation of the suspected illicit connection been completed? Y (□) N (□) |
| If " YES ", proceed to question #9. If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 9. Was the source of the illicit connection found? Y (\Box) N (\Box) |
| If " YES ", identify the source. |
| What plan of action will follow to eliminate the illicit connection? |
| Resolution: |
| If " NO ", complete the Closeout Investigation Form and attach it to this Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form. |
| Inspector's Name: Calow Bell |
| |
| Title: |
| |
| Date: 7/18/13 |
| If there is a dry weather flow or evidence of an intermittent flow he ouro to include this form with |

If there is a dry weather flow or evidence of an intermittent flow, be sure to include this form with your Annual Report and Certification.

| Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form | | |
|---|--|--|
| | Municipality:/530 County/505 | |
| Municipality | NJPDES # :PI ID #: Team Member: Data of Demait Authorization (EDDA); | |
| nicif | E Team Member: | |
| Mu | Date z/m Effective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): | |
| Ou | Itfall #: AB-10 Location: Ances con Legar Dr. | |
| | ceiving Waterbody: Ann Bay | |
| 1. | Is there a dry weather flow? Y () N () | |
| | If "YES", what is the outfall flow estimate? gpm (flow sample should be kept for further testing, and this form will need to be submitted with the Annual Report and Certification) | |
| 3. | Are there any indications of an intermittent flow? Y (\Box) N (\Box) | |
| 4. | If you answered " NO " to BOTH questions #1 and #3, there is probably not an illicit connection and you can skip to question #7. (NOTE: This form does not need to be submitted to the Department, but should be kept with your SPPP.) | |
| | If you answered " YES " to either question, please continue on to question #5. (NOTE: This form will need to be submitted to the Department with the Annual Report and Certification.) | |
| 5. | PHYSICAL OBSERVATIONS: | |
| (a) | ODOR: none | |
| (b) | COLOR: none | |
| (c) | TURBIDITY: none | |
| (d) | FLOATABLES: none | |
| (e) | DEPOSITS/STAINS: none | |
| (f) | VEGETATION CONDITIONS: normal | |
| (g) | DAMAGE TO OUTFALL STRUCTURES: | |
| | IDENTIFY STRUCTURE: | |
| | DAMAGE: none | |
| 6. | ANALYSES OF OUTFALL FLOW SAMPLE: * field calibrate instruments in accordance with manufacturer's instructions prior to testing. | |
| (a) | DETERGENTS:mg/L | |
| | (if sample is greater than 0.06 mg/L, the sample is contaminated with detergents [which may be from sanitary wastewater or other sources]. Further testing is required and this outfall should be given the highest priority.) | |
| | (if the sample is not greater than 0.06 mg/L and it does not show physical characteristics of sanitary wastewater [e.g., odor, floatables, and/or color] it is unlikely that it is from sanitary wastewater sources, yet there may still be an illicit connection of industrial wastewater, rinse water, backwash or cooling water. Skip to question #6c.) | |

| P* | |
|--|---|
| (b) AMMONIA (as N) TO POTASSIUM RATIO: | |
| (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is greater than 0.6:1, then it is likely that the pollutant is sanitary sewage) | |
| (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is less than or equal to 0.6:1, then the pollutant is from another washwater source.) | |
| (c) FLUORIDE:mg/L | |
| (if the fluoride levels are between 1.0 and 2.5 mg/L, then the flow is most likely from fluoride treated potable water.) | |
| (if the sample tests below a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L for fluoride, it is likely to be from groundwater infiltration, springs or streams. In some cases, however, it is possible that the discharge could originat from an onsite well used for industrial cooling water, which will test non-detect for both detergents and fluoride. To differentiate between these cooling water discharges and groundwater infiltration, you will have to rely on temperature.) | 1 |
| (d) TEMPERATURE:°F | |
| (if the temperature of the sample is over 70°F, it is most likely cooling water) | |
| (if the temperature of the sample is under 70°F, it is most likely from ground water infiltration) | |
| 7. Is there a suspected illicit connection? Y () N () | |
| If " YES ", what is the suspected source? | |
| If "NO", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. | |
| Has the investigation of the suspected illicit connection been completed? Y (□) N (□) | |
| If " YES ", proceed to question #9. If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. | |
| 9. Was the source of the illicit connection found? Y (\Box) N (\Box) | |
| If " YES ", identify the source. | |
| What plan of action will follow to eliminate the illicit connection? | |
| Resolution: | |
| If " NO ", complete the Closeout Investigation Form and attach it to this Illicit Connectio Inspection Report Form. | n |
| Inspector's Name: Color Bull | |
| Title: Open Syst | |
| Signature: | |
| Date: 7/18/13 | - |
| If there is a dry weather flow or avidence of an interview of the 1 | |

| Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form | | |
|---|--|--|
| > | _ Municipality: <u>/530</u> County <u>/505</u> | |
| Municipality | NJPDES # :PI ID #: | |
| niciț | Team Member: <u>C. Be</u> | |
| Mu | Date 1/8/2 Effective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): | |
| Outf | fall #: <u>RB-11</u> Location: <u>Aneren Legion Dr.</u> , | |
| Rec | eiving Waterbody: Rose Boly | |
| 1. 1 | s there a dry weather flow? Y (🔲) N (🖅 | |
| (v | f "YES", what is the outfall flow estimate? gpm flow sample should be kept for further testing, and this form will need to be submitted with the Annual Report and Certification) | |
| 3. A | Are there any indications of an intermittent flow? Y (\Box) N (\Box) | |
| 4. ľ | f you answered " NO " to BOTH questions #1 and #3, there is probably not an illicit connection and you can skip to question #7. NOTE: This form does not need to be submitted to the Department, but should be kept with your SPPP.) | |
| | f you answered " YES " to either question, please continue on to question #5. NOTE: This form will need to be submitted to the Department with the Annual Report and Certification.) | |
| 5. F | PHYSICAL OBSERVATIONS: | |
| (a) c | DDOR: none | |
| (b) c | COLOR: none | |
| (c) T | URBIDITY: none | |
| (d) F | LOATABLES: none | |
| (e) D | EPOSITS/STAINS: none | |
| (f) V | EGETATION CONDITIONS: normal | |
| (g) E | DAMAGE TO OUTFALL STRUCTURES: | |
| | IDENTIFY STRUCTURE: | |
| | DAMAGE: none | |
| | NALYSES OF OUTFALL FLOW SAMPLE: field calibrate instruments in accordance with manufacturer's instructions prior to testing. | |
| (a) D | DETERGENTS:mg/L | |
| s | f sample is greater than 0.06 mg/L, the sample is contaminated with detergents [which may be from anitary wastewater or other sources]. Further testing is required and this outfall should be given the ighest priority.) | |
| w th | f the sample is not greater than 0.06 mg/L and it does not show physical characteristics of sanitary vastewater [e.g., odor, floatables, and/or color] it is unlikely that it is from sanitary wastewater sources, yet nere may still be an illicit connection of industrial wastewater, rinse water, backwash or cooling water. Skip to question #6c.) | |

| 0 | |
|-------------------|---|
| (b) A l | MMONIA (as N) TO POTASSIUM RATIO: |
| (if se | the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is greater than 0.6:1, then it is likely that the pollutant is sanitary wage) |
| (if wa | the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is less than or equal to 0.6:1, then the pollutant is from another ashwater source.) |
| (C) FL | UORIDE:mg/L |
| (if po | the fluoride levels are between 1.0 and 2.5 mg/L, then the flow is most likely from fluoride treated table water.) |
| inf frc flu | the sample tests below a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L for fluoride, it is likely to be from groundwater iltration, springs or streams. In some cases, however, it is possible that the discharge could originate om an onsite well used for industrial cooling water, which will test non-detect for both detergents and oride. To differentiate between these cooling water discharges and groundwater infiltration, you will ve to rely on temperature.) |
| (d) TE | MPERATURE: °F |
| (if | the temperature of the sample is over 70°F, it is most likely cooling water) |
| 1 | the temperature of the sample is under 70°F, it is most likely from ground water infiltration) |
| | there a suspected illicit connection? Y (🗌) N (🖂) |
| | 'YES ", what is the suspected source? |
| | 'NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 8. Ha Y | as the investigation of the suspected illicit connection been completed? (\square) N (\square) |
| | YES", proceed to question #9. NO", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 9. Wa | as the source of the illicit connection found? Y(囗) N(囗) |
| lf " | YES", identify the source. |
| Wł | nat plan of action will follow to eliminate the illicit connection? |
| Re | solution: |
| lf " Ins | NO ", complete the Closeout Investigation Form and attach it to this Illicit Connection pection Report Form. |
| Inspec | tor's Name: Call |
| Title: | one lyt. |
| | ure: |
| Date 7 | |
| | is a dry weather flow or evidence of an intermittent flow be sure to include this form with |
| II INCTO | is a dry weather How or evidence of an intermittant flow, he aven to include this form the |

| Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form | | |
|--|--|--|
| > c Municipality: <u>/530</u> County <u>∕505</u> | | |
| NJPDES # :PI ID #: Team Member: <u>C. /bu</u> / Date 7 /8/2Effective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): | | |
| Team Member: C. /s/ | | |
| Municipality: <u>7330</u> County <u>7505</u> NJPDES # :PI ID #: Team Member: <u>c. /sel</u> Date 7 /8/3Effective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): | | |
| Outfall #: RB-12 Location: American Legion Dr. | | |
| Receiving Waterbody: Ronston Bry | | |
| 1. Is there a dry weather flow? Y () N () | | |
| If "YES", what is the outfall flow estimate? gpm (flow sample should be kept for further testing, and this form will need to be submitted with the Annual Report and Certification) | | |
| 3. Are there any indications of an intermittent flow? Y (🗋) N (🗹) | | |
| If you answered "NO" to BOTH questions #1 and #3, there is probably not an illicit connection and you can skip to question #7. (NOTE: This form does not need to be submitted to the Department, but should be kept with your SPPP.) | | |
| If you answered "YES" to either question, please continue on to question #5. (NOTE: This form will need to be submitted to the Department with the Annual Report and Certification.) | | |
| 5. PHYSICAL OBSERVATIONS: | | |
| (a) ODOR: none | | |
| (b) COLOR: none | | |
| (c) TURBIDITY: none | | |
| (d) FLOATABLES: none | | |
| (e) DEPOSITS/STAINS: none | | |
| (f) VEGETATION CONDITIONS: normal | | |
| (g) DAMAGE TO OUTFALL STRUCTURES: | | |
| IDENTIFY STRUCTURE: | | |
| DAMAGE: none | | |
| ANALYSES OF OUTFALL FLOW SAMPLE: * field calibrate instruments in accordance with manufacturer's instructions prior to testing. | | |
| (a) DETERGENTS:mg/L | | |
| (if sample is greater than 0.06 mg/L, the sample is contaminated with detergents [which may be from sanitary wastewater or other sources]. Further testing is required and this outfall should be given the highest priority.) | | |
| (if the sample is not greater than 0.06 mg/L and it does not show physical characteristics of sanitary wastewater [e.g., odor, floatables, and/or color] it is unlikely that it is from sanitary wastewater sources, yet there may still be an illicit connection of industrial wastewater, rinse water, backwash or cooling water. Skip to question #6c.) | | |

| 1 | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| (b |) AMMONIA (as N) TO POTASSIUM RATIO: | |
| | (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is greater than 0.6:1, then it is likely that the pollutant is sanitary sewage) | |
| | (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is less than or equal to 0.6:1, then the pollutant is from another washwater source.) | |
| (c) |) FLUORIDE:mg/L | |
| | (if the fluoride levels are between 1.0 and 2.5 mg/L, then the flow is most likely from fluoride treated potable water.) | |
| | (if the sample tests below a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L for fluoride, it is likely to be from groundwater infiltration, springs or streams. In some cases, however, it is possible that the discharge could originate from an onsite well used for industrial cooling water, which will test non-detect for both detergents and fluoride. To differentiate between these cooling water discharges and groundwater infiltration, you will have to rely on temperature.) | |
| (d) |) TEMPERATURE:°F | |
| | (if the temperature of the sample is over 70°F, it is most likely cooling water) | |
| | (if the temperature of the sample is under 70°F, it is most likely from ground water infiltration) | |
| 7. | Is there a suspected illicit connection? Y () N () | |
| | If "YES", what is the suspected source? | |
| | If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. | |
| 8. | Has the investigation of the suspected illicit connection been completed? Y (\square) N (\square) | |
| | If " YES ", proceed to question #9. If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. | |
| 9. | Was the source of the illicit connection found? Y (🗌) N (🔲) | |
| | If "YES", identify the source. | |
| | What plan of action will follow to eliminate the illicit connection? | |
| | Resolution: | |
| | If " NO ", complete the Closeout Investigation Form and attach it to this Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form. | |
| Ine | nector's Name: C.A P. V | |
| Inspector's Name: <u>Calan Parl</u> | | |
| Title: <u>Open Syst</u> | | |
| Signature: | | |
| bat | e: <u>7/18/73</u> | |
| TC 41 | | |

If there is a dry weather flow or evidence of an intermittent flow, be sure to include this form with your Annual Report and Certification.
| Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form | | |
|--|--|--|
| | Municipality: 1530 County 1505 | |
| Municipality | NJPDES # :PI ID #: Team Member: | |
| nicit | E Team Member: | |
| Mu | Date 7/8/2 Effective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): | |
| Out | fall #:18-14 Location: Woke fourt (Between Mynthe & Cedan) | |
| | eiving Waterbody: Reaston Bay | |
| 1. 1 | ls there a dry weather flow? Y (□) N (☑) | |
| (| If "YES", what is the outfall flow estimate? gpm (flow sample should be kept for further testing, and this form will need to be submitted with the Annual Report and Certification) | |
| 3. / | Are there any indications of an intermittent flow? Y (\square) N (\blacksquare) | |
| 0 | If you answered " NO " to BOTH questions #1 and #3, there is probably not an illicit connection and you can skip to question #7. NOTE: This form does not need to be submitted to the Department, but should be kept with your SPPP.) | |
| | f you answered " YES " to either question, please continue on to question #5. NOTE: This form will need to be submitted to the Department with the Annual Report and Certification.) | |
| 5. I | PHYSICAL OBSERVATIONS: | |
| (a) (| ODOR: none | |
| (b) (| COLOR: none | |
| (c) 1 | IURBIDITY: none | |
| (d) F | FLOATABLES: none | |
| (e) (| DEPOSITS/STAINS: none | |
| (f) \ | /EGETATION CONDITIONS: normal | |
| (g) (| DAMAGE TO OUTFALL STRUCTURES: | |
| | IDENTIFY STRUCTURE: | |
| | DAMAGE: none | |
| ANALYSES OF OUTFALL FLOW SAMPLE: * field calibrate instruments in accordance with manufacturer's instructions prior to testing. | | |
| (a) [| DETERGENTS:mg/L | |
| s | if sample is greater than 0.06 mg/L, the sample is contaminated with detergents [which may be from anitary wastewater or other sources]. Further testing is required and this outfall should be given the highest priority.) | |
| v tl | if the sample is not greater than 0.06 mg/L and it does not show physical characteristics of sanitary vastewater [e.g., odor, floatables, and/or color] it is unlikely that it is from sanitary wastewater sources, yet here may still be an illicit connection of industrial wastewater, rinse water, backwash or cooling water. Skip to question #6c.) | |

| (h) | AMMONIA (as N) TO POTASSIUM RATIO: |
|---------|---|
| | |
| ł | (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is greater than 0.6:1, then it is likely that the pollutant is sanitary sewage) |
| | (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is less than or equal to 0.6:1, then the pollutant is from another washwater source.) |
| (c) | FLUORIDE:mg/L |
| | (if the fluoride levels are between 1.0 and 2.5 mg/L, then the flow is most likely from fluoride treated potable water.) |
| | (if the sample tests below a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L for fluoride, it is likely to be from groundwater infiltration, springs or streams. In some cases, however, it is possible that the discharge could originate from an onsite well used for industrial cooling water, which will test non-detect for both detergents and fluoride. To differentiate between these cooling water discharges and groundwater infiltration, you will have to rely on temperature.) |
| (d) | TEMPERATURE:°F |
| | (if the temperature of the sample is over 70°F, it is most likely cooling water) |
| | (if the temperature of the sample is under 70°F, it is most likely from ground water infiltration) |
| 7. | Is there a suspected illicit connection? Y () N () |
| | If " YES ", what is the suspected source? |
| | If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 8. | Has the investigation of the suspected illicit connection been completed? Y(□) N(□) |
| | If " YES ", proceed to question #9. If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 9. | Was the source of the illicit connection found? Y (🗌) N (🔲) |
| | If "YES", identify the source |
| | What plan of action will follow to eliminate the illicit connection? |
| | Resolution: |
| | If " NO ", complete the Closeout Investigation Form and attach it to this Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form. |
| Insr | pector's Name: Criber Boll |
| | e: DAw Syst |
| | nature: |
| - | |
| | e: <u>7/18/1</u> 7 |
| Tf 4L . | re is a dry weather flow or ovidence of an intermittant flow he must inter the state |

If there is a dry weather flow or evidence of an intermittent flow, be sure to include this form with your Annual Report and Certification.

| Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form | |
|---|--|
| > - | Municipality: 15 05 |
| Municipality | Municipality: <u>///</u> PI ID #: NJPDES # :PI ID #: Team Member: <u>< & //</u> Date //// |
| nici | Team Member: < &/// |
| Mu Infe | Date 7/8/2 Effective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): |
| Outf | all #: RB-XS Location: Water hut (Bet Mystler Cedan St) |
| Rece | eiving Waterbody: Renstan Bay |
| 1. Is | s there a dry weather flow? Y (🗌) N (🗁) |
| (1 | "YES", what is the outfall flow estimate? gpm low sample should be kept for further testing, and this form will need to be submitted vith the Annual Report and Certification) |
| 3. A | re there any indications of an intermittent flow? Y (🗌) N (|
| c | you answered " NO " to BOTH questions #1 and #3, there is probably not an illicit onnection and you can skip to question #7. NOTE: This form does not need to be submitted to the Department, but should be kept with your SPPP.) |
| | you answered " YES " to either question, please continue on to question #5. NOTE: This form will need to be submitted to the Department with the Annual Report and Certification.) |
| 5. P | HYSICAL OBSERVATIONS: |
| (a) 0 | DOR: none |
| (b) c | OLOR: none |
| (c) T | URBIDITY: none |
| (d) F | LOATABLES: none |
| (e) D | EPOSITS/STAINS: none |
| (f) V | EGETATION CONDITIONS: normal |
| (g) D | AMAGE TO OUTFALL STRUCTURES: |
| | IDENTIFY STRUCTURE: |
| | DAMAGE: none |
| | NALYSES OF OUTFALL FLOW SAMPLE: field calibrate instruments in accordance with manufacturer's instructions prior to testing. |
| (a) D | ETERGENTS:mg/L |
| Sa | f sample is greater than 0.06 mg/L, the sample is contaminated with detergents [which may be from anitary wastewater or other sources]. Further testing is required and this outfall should be given the ghest priority.) |
| w th | the sample is not greater than 0.06 mg/L and it does not show physical characteristics of sanitary astewater [e.g., odor, floatables, and/or color] it is unlikely that it is from sanitary wastewater sources, yet ere may still be an illicit connection of industrial wastewater, rinse water, backwash or cooling water. kip to question #6c.) |

1

| (b) AMMONIA (as N) TO POTASSIUM RATIO: | | |
|---|--|--|
| (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is greater than 0.6:1, then it is likely that the pollutant is sanitary sewage) | | |
| (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is less than or equal to 0.6:1, then the pollutant is from another washwater source.) | | |
| (c) FLUORIDE:mg/L | | |
| (if the fluoride levels are between 1.0 and 2.5 mg/L, then the flow is most likely from fluoride treated potable water.) | | |
| (if the sample tests below a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L for fluoride, it is likely to be from groundwater infiltration, springs or streams. In some cases, however, it is possible that the discharge could originate from an onsite well used for industrial cooling water, which will test non-detect for both detergents and fluoride. To differentiate between these cooling water discharges and groundwater infiltration, you will have to rely on temperature.) | | |
| (d) TEMPERATURE:°F | | |
| (if the temperature of the sample is over 70°F, it is most likely cooling water) | | |
| (if the temperature of the sample is under 70°F, it is most likely from ground water infiltration) | | |
| 7. Is there a suspected illicit connection? Y () N () | | |
| If " YES ", what is the suspected source? | | |
| If "NO", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. | | |
| Has the investigation of the suspected illicit connection been completed? Y () N () If "YES", proceed to question #9. | | |
| If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. | | |
| 9. Was the source of the illicit connection found? Y (\Box) N (\Box) | | |
| If " YES ", identify the source | | |
| What plan of action will follow to eliminate the illicit connection? | | |
| Resolution: | | |
| If " NO ", complete the Closeout Investigation Form and attach it to this Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form. | | |
| Inspector's Name: Cakin Bell | | |
| Title: <u>sou</u> syst | | |
| Signature: | | |
| Date: 7/18/13 | | |
| If there is a dry weather flow or evidence of an intermittent flow, he sure to include this form with | | |

| Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form | |
|---|---|
| 2 | Municipality: 1530 County 1505 (Heport Buro / Marmath Carty) |
| Municipality | NJPDES # :PI ID #: |
| nicit | Team Member: |
| Mu Infe | Date <u>1/1/3</u> Effective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): |
| Outfa | all#: <u>RB-16</u> Location: <u>Cedan St</u> |
| | eiving Waterbody: Ran, ton Bay |
| 1. Is | s there a dry weather flow? $Y(\Box) N(\Box)$ |
| 2. If | f "YES", what is the outfall flow estimate? gpm flow sample should be kept for further testing, and this form will need to be submitted vith the Annual Report and Certification) |
| 3. A | are there any indications of an intermittent flow? Y (\square) N (\blacksquare) |
| c | f you answered " NO " to BOTH questions #1 and #3, there is probably not an illicit connection and you can skip to question #7. NOTE: This form does not need to be submitted to the Department, but should be kept with your SPPP.) |
| | f you answered " YES " to either question, please continue on to question #5. NOTE: This form will need to be submitted to the Department with the Annual Report and Certification.) |
| 5. P | PHYSICAL OBSERVATIONS: |
| (a) 0 | DOR: none |
| (b) C | OLOR: none |
| (c) T | URBIDITY: none |
| (d) F | LOATABLES: none |
| (e) D | EPOSITS/STAINS: none |
| (f) V | EGETATION CONDITIONS: normal |
| (g) D | AMAGE TO OUTFALL STRUCTURES: |
| | IDENTIFY STRUCTURE: |
| | DAMAGE: none |
| | NALYSES OF OUTFALL FLOW SAMPLE: field calibrate instruments in accordance with manufacturer's instructions prior to testing. |
| (a) D | ETERGENTS:mg/L |
| sa | f sample is greater than 0.06 mg/L, the sample is contaminated with detergents [which may be from anitary wastewater or other sources]. Further testing is required and this outfall should be given the ighest priority.) |
| w th | f the sample is not greater than 0.06 mg/L and it does not show physical characteristics of sanitary astewater [e.g., odor, floatables, and/or color] it is unlikely that it is from sanitary wastewater sources, yet are may still be an illicit connection of industrial wastewater, rinse water, backwash or cooling water. kip to question #6c.) |

| (b) | AMMONIA (as N) TO POTASSIUM RATIO: |
|-------|---|
| | (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is greater than 0.6:1, then it is likely that the pollutant is sanitary sewage) |
| | (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is less than or equal to 0.6:1, then the pollutant is from another washwater source.) |
| (c) | FLUORIDE:mg/L |
| | (if the fluoride levels are between 1.0 and 2.5 mg/L, then the flow is most likely from fluoride treated potable water.) |
| | (if the sample tests below a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L for fluoride, it is likely to be from groundwater infiltration, springs or streams. In some cases, however, it is possible that the discharge could originate from an onsite well used for industrial cooling water, which will test non-detect for both detergents and fluoride. To differentiate between these cooling water discharges and groundwater infiltration, you will have to rely on temperature.) |
| (d) | TEMPERATURE:°F |
| | (if the temperature of the sample is over 70°F, it is most likely cooling water) |
| | (if the temperature of the sample is under 70°F, it is most likely from ground water infiltration) |
| 7. | Is there a suspected illicit connection? Y (🗌) N (🖂) |
| | If "YES", what is the suspected source? |
| | If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 8. | Has the investigation of the suspected illicit connection been completed? Y (\square) N (\square) |
| | If " YES ", proceed to question #9. If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 9. | Was the source of the illicit connection found? Y (🗌) N (🔲) |
| | If " YES ", identify the source. |
| | What plan of action will follow to eliminate the illicit connection? |
| | Resolution: |
| | If " NO ", complete the Closeout Investigation Form and attach it to this Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form. |
| | pector's Name: Calubal |
| Title | e: Drue Staf |
| Sia | nature: |

Date: 7/13

13

| Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form | | |
|---|--|--|
| 2 | Municipality: <u>/ 530</u> County <u>/ 505</u> | |
| palit | NJPDES # :PI ID #: | |
| Municipality | Team Member: Color Bell | |
| Mu | Municipality: <u>/330</u> County <u>/305</u> NJPDES # :PI ID #: Team Member: <u>Calue</u> Coll Date <u>#/8//s</u> Effective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): | |
| Outf | all #: RB17 Location: Water Frank (at walket St) | |
| Rece | eiving Waterbody: Anno Bay | |
| 1. Is | s there a dry weather flow? Y () N () | |
| 2. If | f "YES", what is the outfall flow estimate? gpm flow sample should be kept for further testing, and this form will need to be submitted vith the Annual Report and Certification) | |
| 3. A | are there any indications of an intermittent flow? Y (\square) N (\square) | |
| c | f you answered " NO " to BOTH questions #1 and #3, there is probably not an illicit connection and you can skip to question #7. NOTE: This form does not need to be submitted to the Department, but should be kept with your SPPP.) | |
| | f you answered " YES " to either question, please continue on to question #5. NOTE: This form will need to be submitted to the Department with the Annual Report and Certification.) | |
| 5. P | PHYSICAL OBSERVATIONS: | |
| (a) 0 | DOR: none | |
| (b) C | OLOR: none | |
| (c) T | URBIDITY: none | |
| (d) F | LOATABLES: none | |
| (e) D | EPOSITS/STAINS: none | |
| (f) V | EGETATION CONDITIONS: normal | |
| (g) D | AMAGE TO OUTFALL STRUCTURES: | |
| | IDENTIFY STRUCTURE: | |
| | DAMAGE: none | |
| | NALYSES OF OUTFALL FLOW SAMPLE: field calibrate instruments in accordance with manufacturer's instructions prior to testing. | |
| (a) D | ETERGENTS:mg/L | |
| sa | f sample is greater than 0.06 mg/L, the sample is contaminated with detergents [which may be from anitary wastewater or other sources]. Further testing is required and this outfall should be given the ighest priority.) | |
| w th | f the sample is not greater than 0.06 mg/L and it does not show physical characteristics of sanitary astewater [e.g., odor, floatables, and/or color] it is unlikely that it is from sanitary wastewater sources, yet here may still be an illicit connection of industrial wastewater, rinse water, backwash or cooling water. kip to question #6c.) | |

| (b) AMMONIA (as N) TO POTASSIUM RATIO: |
|---|
| (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is greater than 0.6:1, then it is likely that the pollutant is sanitary sewage) |
| (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is less than or equal to 0.6:1, then the pollutant is from another washwater source.) |
| (c) FLUORIDE:mg/L |
| (if the fluoride levels are between 1.0 and 2.5 mg/L, then the flow is most likely from fluoride treated potable water.) |
| (if the sample tests below a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L for fluoride, it is likely to be from groundwater infiltration, springs or streams. In some cases, however, it is possible that the discharge could originate from an onsite well used for industrial cooling water, which will test non-detect for both detergents and fluoride. To differentiate between these cooling water discharges and groundwater infiltration, you will have to rely on temperature.) |
| (d) TEMPERATURE:°F |
| (if the temperature of the sample is over 70°F, it is most likely cooling water) |
| (if the temperature of the sample is under 70°F, it is most likely from ground water infiltration) |
| 7. Is there a suspected illicit connection? Y () N () |
| If " YES ", what is the suspected source? |
| If "NO", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| Has the investigation of the suspected illicit connection been completed? Y () N () |
| If " YES ", proceed to question #9. If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 9. Was the source of the illicit connection found? Y (🗌) N (🔲) |
| If "YES", identify the source. |
| What plan of action will follow to eliminate the illicit connection? |
| Resolution: |
| If " NO ", complete the Closeout Investigation Form and attach it to this Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form. |
| Inspector's Name: Colon Bell |
| Title: Dow Supt |
| Signature: |
| Date: 2/18/17 |
| /// |
| If there is a dry weather flow or evidence of an intermittent flow be sure to include this form with |

| Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form | | |
|--|--|--|
| 2 | Municipality: 1530 County 1505 | |
| Municipality | NJPDES # :PI ID #: | |
| nici | Team Member: Color Bell | |
| Mu | Date /////Effective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): | |
| Outf | all #: RB-12 Location: Unterhant (At lenhard 54) | |
| Rece | eiving Waterbody: And Bay | |
| 1. Is | s there a dry weather flow? Y () N () | |
| (f | f "YES", what is the outfall flow estimate? gpm flow sample should be kept for further testing, and this form will need to be submitted vith the Annual Report and Certification) | |
| 3. A | are there any indications of an intermittent flow? Y (\square) N (\bowtie) | |
| C | f you answered " NO " to BOTH questions #1 and #3, there is probably not an illicit onnection and you can skip to question #7. NOTE: This form does not need to be submitted to the Department, but should be kept with your SPPP.) | |
| | you answered " YES " to either question, please continue on to question #5. NOTE: This form will need to be submitted to the Department with the Annual Report and Certification.) | |
| 5. P | PHYSICAL OBSERVATIONS: | |
| (a) 0 | DOR: none | |
| (b) C | OLOR: none | |
| (c) T | URBIDITY: none | |
| (d) F | LOATABLES: none | |
| (e) D | EPOSITS/STAINS: none | |
| (f) V | EGETATION CONDITIONS: normal | |
| (g) D | AMAGE TO OUTFALL STRUCTURES: | |
| | IDENTIFY STRUCTURE: | |
| | DAMAGE: none | |
| ANALYSES OF OUTFALL FLOW SAMPLE: * field calibrate instruments in accordance with manufacturer's instructions prior to testing. | | |
| (a) D | ETERGENTS:mg/L | |
| sa | f sample is greater than 0.06 mg/L, the sample is contaminated with detergents [which may be from anitary wastewater or other sources]. Further testing is required and this outfall should be given the ghest priority.) | |
| wa th | the sample is not greater than 0.06 mg/L and it does not show physical characteristics of sanitary astewater [e.g., odor, floatables, and/or color] it is unlikely that it is from sanitary wastewater sources, yet ere may still be an illicit connection of industrial wastewater, rinse water, backwash or cooling water. | |

| 1 | |
|--------|---|
| (b) | AMMONIA (as N) TO POTASSIUM RATIO: |
| | (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is greater than 0.6:1, then it is likely that the pollutant is sanitary sewage) |
| | (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is less than or equal to 0.6:1, then the pollutant is from another washwater source.) |
| (c) | FLUORIDE:mg/L |
| | (if the fluoride levels are between 1.0 and 2.5 mg/L, then the flow is most likely from fluoride treated potable water.) |
| | (if the sample tests below a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L for fluoride, it is likely to be from groundwater infiltration, springs or streams. In some cases, however, it is possible that the discharge could originate from an onsite well used for industrial cooling water, which will test non-detect for both detergents and fluoride. To differentiate between these cooling water discharges and groundwater infiltration, you will have to rely on temperature.) |
| (d) | TEMPERATURE:°F |
| | (if the temperature of the sample is over 70°F, it is most likely cooling water) |
| | (if the temperature of the sample is under 70°F, it is most likely from ground water infiltration) |
| 7. | Is there a suspected illicit connection? Y () N () |
| | If " YES ", what is the suspected source? |
| | If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 8. | Has the investigation of the suspected illicit connection been completed? Y (\square) N (\square) |
| | If " YES ", proceed to question #9. If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 9. | Was the source of the illicit connection found? Y (\square) N (\square) |
| | If "YES", identify the source |
| | What plan of action will follow to eliminate the illicit connection? |
| | Resolution: |
| | If " NO ", complete the Closeout Investigation Form and attach it to this Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form. |
| Insr | pector's Name: Colon Bl/ |
| | e: Dru Lyth |
| Siar | nature: |
| | |
| | e: 7/18/ 13 |
| Tf +hc | ere is a dry weather flow or evidence of an intermittent flow he sure to include this form with |

| Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form | | |
|--|--|--|
| 20 | Municipality: <u>7530</u> County <u>7505</u> | |
| palit | NJPDES # :PI ID #: | |
| Municipality | Team Member: Call | |
| Mu | Date //s//>Effective Date of Permit Authorization (EDPA): | |
| Outfa | all #:Location: Maph N. (Near 108 Maple) | |
| Rece | eiving Waterbody: Ching anona Creek | |
| 1. Is | s there a dry weather flow? Y () N () | |
| (f | "YES", what is the outfall flow estimate? gpm flow sample should be kept for further testing, and this form will need to be submitted vith the Annual Report and Certification) | |
| 3. A | re there any indications of an intermittent flow? Y (🗌) N (| |
| 4. If c | ⁷ you answered " NO " to BOTH questions #1 and #3, there is probably not an illicit onnection and you can skip to question #7. NOTE: This form does not need to be submitted to the Department, but should be kept with your SPPP.) | |
| Ìf | you answered " YES " to either question, please continue on to question #5. NOTE: This form will need to be submitted to the Department with the Annual Report and Certification.) | |
| 5. P | | |
| (a) o | DOR: none | |
| (b) C | OLOR: none | |
| (c) T | URBIDITY: none | |
| (d) F | LOATABLES: none | |
| (e) D | EPOSITS/STAINS: none | |
| (f) V | EGETATION CONDITIONS: normal | |
| (g) D | AMAGE TO OUTFALL STRUCTURES: | |
| | IDENTIFY STRUCTURE: | |
| | DAMAGE: none | |
| ANALYSES OF OUTFALL FLOW SAMPLE: * field calibrate instruments in accordance with manufacturer's instructions prior to testing. | | |
| (a) D | ETERGENTS:mg/L | |
| Sa | f sample is greater than 0.06 mg/L, the sample is contaminated with detergents [which may be from anitary wastewater or other sources]. Further testing is required and this outfall should be given the ghest priority.) | |
| w th | the sample is not greater than 0.06 mg/L and it does not show physical characteristics of sanitary astewater [e.g., odor, floatables, and/or color] it is unlikely that it is from sanitary wastewater sources, yet ere may still be an illicit connection of industrial wastewater, rinse water, backwash or cooling water. kip to question #6c.) | |

| (b |) AMMONIA (as N) TO POTASSIUM RATIO: |
|-------|---|
| | (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is greater than 0.6:1, then it is likely that the pollutant is sanitary |
| | sewage) |
| | (if the Ammonia to Potassium Ratio is less than or equal to 0.6:1, then the pollutant is from another washwater source.) |
| (c) |) FLUORIDE:mg/L |
| | (if the fluoride levels are between 1.0 and 2.5 mg/L, then the flow is most likely from fluoride treated potable water.) |
| | (if the sample tests below a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L for fluoride, it is likely to be from groundwater infiltration, springs or streams. In some cases, however, it is possible that the discharge could originate from an onsite well used for industrial cooling water, which will test non-detect for both detergents and fluoride. To differentiate between these cooling water discharges and groundwater infiltration, you will have to rely on temperature.) |
| (d) | TEMPERATURE:°F |
| | (if the temperature of the sample is over 70°F, it is most likely cooling water) |
| | (if the temperature of the sample is under 70°F, it is most likely from ground water infiltration) |
| 7. | Is there a suspected illicit connection? Y () N () |
| | If "YES", what is the suspected source? |
| | If "NO", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 8. | Has the investigation of the suspected illicit connection been completed? Y (\square) N (\square) |
| | If " YES ", proceed to question #9. If " NO ", skip to signature block on the bottom of this form. |
| 9. | Was the source of the illicit connection found? Y (\Box) N (\Box) |
| | If " YES ", identify the source. |
| | What plan of action will follow to eliminate the illicit connection? |
| | Resolution: |
| | If " NO ", complete the Closeout Investigation Form and attach it to this Illicit Connection Inspection Report Form. |
| | |
| lí . | pector's Name: <u>Colum Act</u> |
| litle | e: <u>Drw Lot</u> nature: |
| 1 | |
| Dat | e: <u>7/18</u> /5 |
| Tf +h | ere is a dry weather flow or evidence of an intermittent flow he aver to include this for it |

If there is a dry weather flow or evidence of an intermittent flow, be sure to include this form with your Annual Report and Certification.



GENERAL NOTES:

1) REFERENCE MAPPING ENTITLED BOROUGH OF KEYPORT, SEWAGE INFRASTRUCTURE ACT MAP, PHASE 2, PREPARED BY MASER SOSINSKI & ASSOCIATES, P.A., DATED 8/23/94. SOURCES USED FOR LOCATION OF FEATURES DELINEATED ON MAP

SOURCES USED FOR LOCATION OF FEATURES DELINEATED ON MAP INCLUDE:
OUTFALL LOCATION MAP (PHASE 1), PREPARED BY MASER SOSINSKI & ASSOCIATES
SANITARY SEWER SERVICE PLAN AND EXISTING DRAINAGE FACILITIES PLAN PREPARED BY SCHOOR ENGINEERING INC. FOR THE BOROUGH OF KEYPORT DATED OCTOBER 1987.
KEYPORT HARBOR STORM DRAINAGE INVESTIGATION PREPARED BY MONMOUTH COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT DATED 1992.
N.J.D.O.T. ASBUILTS FOR ROUTE 35 AND ROUTE 36.
EXTENSIVE COORDINATION WITH KEYPORT PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR.
FILED INSPECTIONS PERFORMED BY MASER SOSINSKI & ASSOCIATES.
SIE PLAN FOR BLOCK 110 LOTS 5.25, 26, 27 PREPARED BY MASER SOSINSKI & ASSOCIATES DATED MARCH 1969.
MOBIL OIL CORP. PREPARED BY BOHLER ENGINEERING DATED JUNE 1969. 1989.

1969.
 KEYPORT AUTO MART PREPARED BY MASER SOSINSKI & ASSOCIATES DATED MARCH 1969.
 2016 ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROPOSED PLANS PREPARED BY CME ASSOCIATES DATED 2016.
 2) TOPOGRAPHY PROVIDED BY MONMOUTH COUNTY GIS SHAPEFILES.
 3) VARIOUS STORM SEWER LENGTHS, MATERIALS, DIAMETERS, AND LOCATIONS ARE NOT KNOWN AND MUST BE FIELD VERIFIED. UNKNOWN OR INCOMPLETE STORM SEWER INFORMATION IS NOTED WITH AN ASTERISK (*).



STOI INFRASTRU BOROUGH MONMOUTH CO

| 0 | 150 | 300 | 600 | 1200 |
|------|-----|-----|---------------------|--------|
| | | | | |
| | | | FEET) = 300 ft. | |
| | | | I SEWER URE MAP | |
| | | | | |
| ΙΜΟΙ | JTH | COU | NTY, NEW | JERSEY |
| | | | Υ MAP | |
| | | | | |
| | | ASS | OCIATES | |
| CON | | | MUNICIPAL ENGIN | EERS |
| | | | | |

























SPPP Form 13 – Stormwater Facilities Maintenance

All records must be available upon request by NJDEP.

| 1. | Detail the program in place for the long-term cleaning, operation and maintenance of each stormwater facility owned or operated by the municipality. |
|---------|--|
| | The Borough primarily owns the outfalls and the stormwater piping system. The Borough does not own any large detention basins or other stormwater management features. |
| 2. | Detail the program in place for ensuring the long-term cleaning, operation and maintenance of each stormwater facility NOT owned or operated by the municipality. |
| | |
| | The maintenance of each facility shall be reviewed in accordance with each property's stormwater O and M Manual. The Borough engineer will communicate will all property owners that own stormwater facilities twice a year to ensure the maintenance is occurring. Violation notices will be sent to property owners that do not follow the maintenance schedule. |
| 3. | Indicate the location(s) of the Stormwater Facilities Inspection and Maintenance Logs listing the type of stormwater facilities inspected, location information, inspection dates, inspector name(s), findings, preventative and corrective maintenance performed. |
| | The Borough Engineer and DPW will maintain these logs and keep the filing with the DPW director. The logs will be included in future SPPP updates. |
| mainter | at maintenance activities must be reported in the annual report and records must be available upon request. DEP nance log templates are available at http://www.nj.gov/dep/stormwater/maintenance_guidance.htm (select specific om choices listed in the Field Manuals section). |

Additional Resources: The NJ Hydrologic Modeling Database contains information and maps of stormwater management basins. To view the database map, see <u>https://hydro.rutgers.edu</u>. To download data in an Excel format, see <u>https://hydro.rutgers.edu</u>.

SPPP Form 14 – Total Maximum Daily Load Information

All records must be available upon request by NJDEP.

| 1. | Using the Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) reports provided on <u>www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/msrp-tmdl-rh.htm</u> , list adopted TMDLs for the municipality, parameters addressed, and the affected water bodies that impact the municipality's MS4 program. |
|----|---|
| | Applicable Stream TMDL(s) |
| | Total Maximum Daily Load for Mercury Impairments Based on Concentration in Fish Tissue Caused Mainly by Air Deposition to Address 122 HUC 14s Statewide |
| | Mercury - 2010 : Matawan Creek (below Ravine Drive) |
| | The Matawan Creek is on the west side of the borough and is the divided line between Keyport and Aberdeen. The water body flows directly to the Raritan Bay and is tidal. |
| | |
| | |
| 2 | Describe how the generittee was TMDL information to grigatize stampustan facilities |
| 2. | Describe how the permittee uses TMDL information to prioritize stormwater facilities maintenance projects and to address specific sources of stormwater pollutants. |
| | At this time the Borough has not address this TMDL. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

SPPP Form 15 – Optional Measures

All records must be available upon request by NJDEP.

